

k -Positivity of the Dual Canonical Basis

Sunita Chepuri (joint with Melissa Sherman-Bennett)

Northeast Women in Algebra and Combinatorics Conference Celebrating the 50th
Anniversary of the Association for Women in Mathematics

November 20, 2021

Outline

- k -Positivity

- k -Positivity
- Immanants and the Dual Canonical Basis

- k -Positivity
- Immanants and the Dual Canonical Basis
- Main Theorem

- k -Positivity
- Immanants and the Dual Canonical Basis
- Main Theorem
- Future Work

- k -Positivity
- Immanants and the Dual Canonical Basis
- Main Theorem
- Future Work

Total Positivity

Definition

A matrix is *totally positive* if all its square submatrices have positive determinant.

Total Positivity

Definition

A matrix is *totally positive* if all its square submatrices have positive determinant.

Example:

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 4 & 3 & 2 \\ 5 & 4 & 3 \\ 6 & 5 & 6 \end{bmatrix}$$

Total Positivity

Definition

A matrix is *totally positive* if all its square submatrices have positive determinant.

Example:

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 4 & 3 & 2 \\ 5 & 4 & 3 \\ 6 & 5 & 6 \end{bmatrix}$$

The determinants of the 1×1 submatrices are the matrix entries, which are positive.

Total Positivity

Definition

A matrix is *totally positive* if all its square submatrices have positive determinant.

Example:

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 4 & 3 & 2 \\ 5 & 4 & 3 \\ 6 & 5 & 6 \end{bmatrix}$$

The determinants of the 1×1 submatrices are the matrix entries, which are positive.

There are $\binom{3}{2} \binom{3}{2} = 9$ 2×2 submatrices, all of which have positive determinant.

Total Positivity

Definition

A matrix is *totally positive* if all its square submatrices have positive determinant.

Example:

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 4 & 3 & 2 \\ 5 & 4 & 3 \\ 6 & 5 & 6 \end{bmatrix}$$

The determinants of the 1×1 submatrices are the matrix entries, which are positive.

There are $\binom{3}{2} \binom{3}{2} = 9$ 2×2 submatrices, all of which have positive determinant.

$$\det(A) = 2$$

Total Positivity

Definition

A matrix is *totally positive* if all its square submatrices have positive determinant.

Example:

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 4 & 3 & 2 \\ 5 & 4 & 3 \\ 6 & 5 & 6 \end{bmatrix}$$

The determinants of the 1×1 submatrices are the matrix entries, which are positive.

There are $\binom{3}{2} \binom{3}{2} = 9$ 2×2 submatrices, all of which have positive determinant.

$$\det(A) = 2$$

So A is totally positive.

Total Positivity

Why study these matrices?

Why study these matrices?

- Have variation diminishing property (Schoenberg, 1930)

Why study these matrices?

- Have variation diminishing property (Schoenberg, 1930)
- Have nice eigenvalues (Gantmacher–Krein, 1935)

Why study these matrices?

- Have variation diminishing property (Schoenberg, 1930)
- Have nice eigenvalues (Gantmacher–Krein, 1935)
- Can be used in functional analysis, ODE's, probability, statistics

Why study these matrices?

- Have variation diminishing property (Schoenberg, 1930)
- Have nice eigenvalues (Gantmacher–Krein, 1935)
- Can be used in functional analysis, ODE's, probability, statistics
- Relates combinatorially to networks (Lindström, 1973)

Why study these matrices?

- Have variation diminishing property (Schoenberg, 1930)
- Have nice eigenvalues (Gantmacher–Krein, 1935)
- Can be used in functional analysis, ODE's, probability, statistics
- Relates combinatorially to networks (Lindström, 1973)
- Led to development of cluster algebras (Fomin–Zelevinsky, 2002)

Why study these matrices?

- Have variation diminishing property (Schoenberg, 1930)
- Have nice eigenvalues (Gantmacher–Krein, 1935)
- Can be used in functional analysis, ODE's, probability, statistics
- Relates combinatorially to networks (Lindström, 1973)
- Led to development of cluster algebras (Fomin–Zelevinsky, 2002)
- Has nice topology (Hersh, 2013)

Definition

A matrix is k -positive if all its square submatrices of size less than or equal to k have positive determinant.

Definition

A matrix is k -positive if all its square submatrices of size less than or equal to k have positive determinant.

Example:

$$M = \begin{bmatrix} 22 & 18 & 6 & 3 \\ 8 & 7 & 3 & 2 \\ 2 & 2 & 1 & 2 \\ 1 & 2 & 2 & 6 \end{bmatrix}$$

Definition

A matrix is k -positive if all its square submatrices of size less than or equal to k have positive determinant.

Example:

$$M = \begin{bmatrix} 22 & 18 & 6 & 3 \\ 8 & 7 & 3 & 2 \\ 2 & 2 & 1 & 2 \\ 1 & 2 & 2 & 6 \end{bmatrix}$$

The determinants of the 1×1 and 2×2 submatrices are positive, so M is 1-positive and 2-positive.

Definition

A matrix is k -positive if all its square submatrices of size less than or equal to k have positive determinant.

Example:

$$M = \begin{bmatrix} 22 & 18 & 6 & 3 \\ 8 & 7 & 3 & 2 \\ 2 & 2 & 1 & 2 \\ 1 & 2 & 2 & 6 \end{bmatrix}$$

The determinants of the 1×1 and 2×2 submatrices are positive, so M is 1-positive and 2-positive.

$|M_{\{1,2,3\},\{1,2,3\}}| < 0$, so M is not 3-positive or 4-positive (totally positive).

Definition

A matrix is k -positive if all its square submatrices of size less than or equal to k have positive determinant.

Example:

$$M = \begin{bmatrix} 22 & 18 & 6 & 3 \\ 8 & 7 & 3 & 2 \\ 2 & 2 & 1 & 2 \\ 1 & 2 & 2 & 6 \end{bmatrix}$$

The determinants of the 1×1 and 2×2 submatrices are positive, so M is 1-positive and 2-positive.

$|M_{\{1,2,3\},\{1,2,3\}}| < 0$, so M is not 3-positive or 4-positive (totally positive).

These matrices have many similar but slightly weaker properties to totally positive matrices.

- k -Positivity
- Immanants and the Dual Canonical Basis
- Main Theorem
- Future Work

Dual Canonical Basis

Let G be a reductive group.

Dual Canonical Basis

Let G be a reductive group.

The *dual canonical basis* of $\mathbb{C}[G]$ is a family of linear functionals on G .

Dual Canonical Basis

Let G be a reductive group.

The *dual canonical basis* of $\mathbb{C}[G]$ is a family of linear functionals on G .

Lusztig (1994) defined $G_{>0}$ and showed that all elements of the dual canonical basis are positive on $G_{>0}$.

Dual Canonical Basis

Let G be a reductive group.

The *dual canonical basis* of $\mathbb{C}[G]$ is a family of linear functionals on G .

Lusztig (1994) defined $G_{>0}$ and showed that all elements of the dual canonical basis are positive on $G_{>0}$.

Fomin–Zelevinsky (2000) showed $G_{>0}$ is exactly the subset of G where certain dual canonical basis elements called *generalized minors* are positive.

Dual Canonical Basis

Let G be a reductive group.

The *dual canonical basis* of $\mathbb{C}[G]$ is a family of linear functionals on G .

Lusztig (1994) defined $G_{>0}$ and showed that all elements of the dual canonical basis are positive on $G_{>0}$.

Fomin–Zelevinsky (2000) showed $G_{>0}$ is exactly the subset of G where certain dual canonical basis elements called *generalized minors* are positive.

Question

What if only a subset of the generalized minors are positive? What does this tell us about the positivity of the rest of the dual canonical basis elements?

Dual Canonical Basis

Let G be a reductive group.

The *dual canonical basis* of $\mathbb{C}[G]$ is a family of linear functionals on G .

Lusztig (1994) defined $G_{>0}$ and showed that all elements of the dual canonical basis are positive on $G_{>0}$.

Fomin–Zelevinsky (2000) showed $G_{>0}$ is exactly the subset of G where certain dual canonical basis elements called *generalized minors* are positive.

Question

What if only a subset of the generalized minors are positive? What does this tell us about the positivity of the rest of the dual canonical basis elements?

Our setting: $G = SL_n$, generalized minors are just minors, we require the set of minors of size less than or equal to k be positive.

Let $X = (x_{ij})$ be the matrix of indeterminates.

Definition

Given a function $f : S_n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, the *immanant* associated to f , $\text{Imm}_f : \text{Mat}_{n \times n}(\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, is the function

$$\text{Imm}_f(X) := \sum_{w \in S_n} f(w) x_{1,w(1)} \cdots x_{n,w(n)}.$$

Let $X = (x_{ij})$ be the matrix of indeterminates.

Definition

Given a function $f : S_n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, the *immanant* associated to f , $\text{Imm}_f : \text{Mat}_{n \times n}(\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, is the function

$$\text{Imm}_f(X) := \sum_{w \in S_n} f(w) x_{1,w(1)} \cdots x_{n,w(n)}.$$

Ex: If $f(w) = \text{sgn}(w)$ for all $w \in S_n$, then $\text{Imm}_f(X) = \det(x)$.

Let $X = (x_{ij})$ be the matrix of indeterminates.

Definition

Given a function $f : S_n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, the *immanant* associated to f , $\text{Imm}_f : \text{Mat}_{n \times n}(\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, is the function

$$\text{Imm}_f(X) := \sum_{w \in S_n} f(w) x_{1,w(1)} \cdots x_{n,w(n)}.$$

Ex: If $f(w) = \text{sgn}(w)$ for all $w \in S_n$, then $\text{Imm}_f(X) = \det(x)$.

Ex: If $f(w) = 1$ for all $w \in S_n$, then $\text{Imm}_f(X) = \text{per}(X)$.

Let $X = (x_{ij})$ be the matrix of indeterminates.

Definition

Given a function $f : S_n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, the *immanant* associated to f , $\text{Imm}_f : \text{Mat}_{n \times n}(\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, is the function

$$\text{Imm}_f(X) := \sum_{w \in S_n} f(w) x_{1,w(1)} \cdots x_{n,w(n)}.$$

Ex: If $f(w) = \text{sgn}(w)$ for all $w \in S_n$, then $\text{Imm}_f(X) = \det(x)$.

Ex: If $f(w) = 1$ for all $w \in S_n$, then $\text{Imm}_f(X) = \text{per}(X)$.

The most commonly studied immanants are irreducible character immanants ($f = \chi^\lambda$ an irreducible character of S_n).

Definition

Let $v \in S_n$. The *Kazhdan-Lusztig immanant* indexed by v is

$$\text{Imm}_v(X) := \sum_{w \in S_n} (-1)^{\ell(w) - \ell(v)} P_{w_0 w, w_0 v}(1) x_{1, w(1)} \cdots x_{n, w(n)}$$

where $P_{x,y}(q)$ is the Kazhdan-Lusztig polynomial associated to $x, y \in S_n$ and $w_0 \in S_n$ is the longest permutation.

Row and Column Indices

Let $R = \{r_1 \leq \dots \leq r_n\}$, $C = \{c_1 \leq \dots \leq c_n\} \in \binom{[m]}{n}$ where $[m] := \{1, \dots, m\}$.

Row and Column Indices

Let $R = \{r_1 \leq \dots \leq r_n\}$, $C = \{c_1 \leq \dots \leq c_n\} \in \binom{[m]}{n}$ where $[m] := \{1, \dots, m\}$.

For an $m \times m$ matrix $M = (m_{ij})$, we define $M(R, C)$ to be the matrix with element m_{r_i, c_j} in row i , column j .

Row and Column Indices

Let $R = \{r_1 \leq \dots \leq r_n\}$, $C = \{c_1 \leq \dots \leq c_n\} \in \binom{[m]}{n}$ where $[m] := \{1, \dots, m\}$.

For an $m \times m$ matrix $M = (m_{ij})$, we define $M(R, C)$ to be the matrix with element m_{r_i, c_j} in row i , column j .

Example: Let $R = \{1, 1, 3\}$, $C = \{2, 3, 4\}$.

$$M = \begin{bmatrix} 22 & 18 & 6 & 3 \\ 8 & 7 & 3 & 2 \\ 2 & 2 & 1 & 2 \\ 1 & 2 & 2 & 6 \end{bmatrix}, \quad M(R, C) = \begin{bmatrix} 18 & 6 & 3 \\ 18 & 6 & 3 \\ 2 & 1 & 2 \end{bmatrix}$$

Theorem (Skandera, 2008)

The dual canonical basis of $\mathbb{C}[SL_m]$ consists of the nonzero elements of the set $\{\text{Imm}_v X(R, C) \mid v \in S_n \text{ for some } n \in \mathbb{N} \text{ and } R, C \in \left(\binom{[m]}{n}\right)\}$.

- k -Positivity
- Immanants and the Dual Canonical Basis
- Main Theorem
- Future Work

Definition

We say w is v -avoiding if w does not contain a sub-sequence in one-line notation where the digits have the same order relations as v in one-line notation.

Definition

We say w is v -avoiding if w does not contain a sub-sequence in one-line notation where the digits have the same order relations as v in one-line notation.

Ex: $w = 25341$

Definition

We say w is v -avoiding if w does not contain a sub-sequence in one-line notation where the digits have the same order relations as v in one-line notation.

Ex: $w = 25341$

Is w 321-avoiding?

Definition

We say w is v -avoiding if w does not contain a sub-sequence in one-line notation where the digits have the same order relations as v in one-line notation.

Ex: $w = 25341$

Is w 321-avoiding?

No: w contains the subsequence 531 and $5 > 3 > 1$.

Definition

We say w is v -avoiding if w does not contain a sub-sequence in one-line notation where the digits have the same order relations as v in one-line notation.

Ex: $w = 25341$

Is w 321-avoiding?

No: w contains the subsequence 531 and $5 > 3 > 1$.

Is w 3412-avoiding?

Definition

We say w is v -avoiding if w does not contain a sub-sequence in one-line notation where the digits have the same order relations as v in one-line notation.

Ex: $w = 25341$

Is w 321-avoiding?

No: w contains the subsequence 531 and $5 > 3 > 1$.

Is w 3412-avoiding?

Yes: length 4 subsequences are 2534, 2531, 25341, 2541, 2341, 5341.

Definition

We say a matrix functional is *k-positive* if it is positive when evaluated on all *k*-positive matrices.

Main Theorem

Definition

We say a matrix functional is *k-positive* if it is positive when evaluated on all *k*-positive matrices.

Theorem (C.–Sherman-Bennet, 2021)

Let $v \in S_n$ be 1324-, 2143-avoiding and suppose that for all $i < j$ with $v(i) < v(j)$ we have $j - i \leq k$ or $v(j) - v(i) \leq k$. Let $R, C \in \left(\binom{[m]}{n}\right)$. Then $\text{Imm}_v X(R, C)$ is identically 0 or it is *k*-positive.

Main Theorem

Definition

We say a matrix functional is *k-positive* if it is positive when evaluated on all *k*-positive matrices.

Theorem (C.–Sherman-Bennet, 2021)

Let $v \in S_n$ be 1324-, 2143-avoiding and suppose that for all $i < j$ with $v(i) < v(j)$ we have $j - i \leq k$ or $v(j) - v(i) \leq k$. Let $R, C \in \left(\binom{[m]}{n}\right)$. Then $\text{Imm}_v X(R, C)$ is identically 0 or it is *k*-positive.

Corollary (C.–Sherman-Bennet, 2021)

The elements of the dual canonical basis of $\mathbb{C}[SL_m]$ described above are *k*-positive.

Restriction of a Matrix to Γ_P

Definition

For $P \subseteq S_n$, define Γ_P to be the $n \times n$ array with dots in entries $\{(i, w(i)) \mid w \in P\}$. Let $M|_{\Gamma_P}$ be the matrix M with entries changed to 0 wherever there is no dot in Γ_P .

Restriction of a Matrix to Γ_P

Definition

For $P \subseteq S_n$, define Γ_P to be the $n \times n$ array with dots in entries $\{(i, w(i)) \mid w \in P\}$. Let $M|_{\Gamma_P}$ be the matrix M with entries changed to 0 wherever there is no dot in Γ_P .

Ex: $w = 2431$, $P = [w, w_0] = \{2431, 3421, 4231, 4321\}$.

Restriction of a Matrix to Γ_P

Definition

For $P \subseteq S_n$, define Γ_P to be the $n \times n$ array with dots in entries $\{(i, w(i)) \mid w \in P\}$. Let $M|_{\Gamma_P}$ be the matrix M with entries changed to 0 wherever there is no dot in Γ_P .

Ex: $w = 2431$, $P = [w, w_0] = \{2431, 3421, 4231, 4321\}$.

$$\Gamma_{[v, w_0]} = \begin{array}{|c|c|c|c|} \hline & & & \\ \hline & & & \\ \hline & & & \\ \hline & & & \\ \hline \end{array}$$

Restriction of a Matrix to Γ_P

Definition

For $P \subseteq S_n$, define Γ_P to be the $n \times n$ array with dots in entries $\{(i, w(i)) \mid w \in P\}$. Let $M|_{\Gamma_P}$ be the matrix M with entries changed to 0 wherever there is no dot in Γ_P .

Ex: $w = 2431$, $P = [w, w_0] = \{2431, 3421, 4231, 4321\}$.

$$\Gamma_{[v, w_0]} = \begin{array}{|c|c|c|c|} \hline & \bullet & & \\ \hline & & & \\ \hline & & & \\ \hline & & & \\ \hline \end{array}$$

Restriction of a Matrix to Γ_P

Definition

For $P \subseteq S_n$, define Γ_P to be the $n \times n$ array with dots in entries $\{(i, w(i)) \mid w \in P\}$. Let $M|_{\Gamma_P}$ be the matrix M with entries changed to 0 wherever there is no dot in Γ_P .

Ex: $w = 2431$, $P = [w, w_0] = \{2431, 3421, 4231, 4321\}$.

$$\Gamma_{[v, w_0]} = \begin{array}{|c|c|c|c|} \hline & \bullet & & \\ \hline & & & \bullet \\ \hline & & & \\ \hline & & & \\ \hline \end{array}$$

Restriction of a Matrix to Γ_P

Definition

For $P \subseteq S_n$, define Γ_P to be the $n \times n$ array with dots in entries $\{(i, w(i)) \mid w \in P\}$. Let $M|_{\Gamma_P}$ be the matrix M with entries changed to 0 wherever there is no dot in Γ_P .

Ex: $w = 2431$, $P = [w, w_0] = \{2431, 3421, 4231, 4321\}$.

$$\Gamma_{[v, w_0]} = \begin{array}{|c|c|c|c|} \hline & \bullet & & \\ \hline & & & \bullet \\ \hline & & \bullet & \\ \hline & & & \\ \hline \end{array}$$

Restriction of a Matrix to Γ_P

Definition

For $P \subseteq S_n$, define Γ_P to be the $n \times n$ array with dots in entries $\{(i, w(i)) \mid w \in P\}$. Let $M|_{\Gamma_P}$ be the matrix M with entries changed to 0 wherever there is no dot in Γ_P .

Ex: $w = 2431$, $P = [w, w_0] = \{2431, 3421, 4231, 4321\}$.

$$\Gamma_{[v, w_0]} = \begin{array}{|c|c|c|c|} \hline & \bullet & & \\ \hline & & & \bullet \\ \hline & & \bullet & \\ \hline \bullet & & & \\ \hline \end{array}$$

Restriction of a Matrix to Γ_P

Definition

For $P \subseteq S_n$, define Γ_P to be the $n \times n$ array with dots in entries $\{(i, w(i)) \mid w \in P\}$. Let $M|_{\Gamma_P}$ be the matrix M with entries changed to 0 wherever there is no dot in Γ_P .

Ex: $w = 2431$, $P = [w, w_0] = \{2431, 3421, 4231, 4321\}$.

$$\Gamma_{[w, w_0]} = \begin{array}{|c|c|c|c|} \hline & \bullet & \bullet & \\ \hline & & & \bullet \\ \hline & & \bullet & \\ \hline \bullet & & & \\ \hline \end{array}$$

Restriction of a Matrix to Γ_P

Definition

For $P \subseteq S_n$, define Γ_P to be the $n \times n$ array with dots in entries $\{(i, w(i)) \mid w \in P\}$. Let $M|_{\Gamma_P}$ be the matrix M with entries changed to 0 wherever there is no dot in Γ_P .

Ex: $w = 2431$, $P = [w, w_0] = \{2431, 3421, 4231, 4321\}$.

$$\Gamma_{[v, w_0]} = \begin{array}{|c|c|c|c|} \hline & \bullet & \bullet & \\ \hline & & & \bullet \\ \hline & \bullet & \bullet & \\ \hline \bullet & & & \\ \hline \end{array}$$

Restriction of a Matrix to Γ_P

Definition

For $P \subseteq S_n$, define Γ_P to be the $n \times n$ array with dots in entries $\{(i, w(i)) \mid w \in P\}$. Let $M|_{\Gamma_P}$ be the matrix M with entries changed to 0 wherever there is no dot in Γ_P .

Ex: $w = 2431$, $P = [w, w_0] = \{2431, 3421, 4231, 4321\}$.

$$\Gamma_{[v, w_0]} = \begin{array}{|c|c|c|c|} \hline & \bullet & \bullet & \bullet \\ \hline & \bullet & & \bullet \\ \hline & \bullet & \bullet & \\ \hline \bullet & & & \\ \hline \end{array}$$

Restriction of a Matrix to Γ_P

Definition

For $P \subseteq S_n$, define Γ_P to be the $n \times n$ array with dots in entries $\{(i, w(i)) \mid w \in P\}$. Let $M|_{\Gamma_P}$ be the matrix M with entries changed to 0 wherever there is no dot in Γ_P .

Ex: $w = 2431$, $P = [w, w_0] = \{2431, 3421, 4231, 4321\}$.

$$\Gamma_{[v, w_0]} = \begin{array}{|c|c|c|c|} \hline & \bullet & \bullet & \bullet \\ \hline & \bullet & \bullet & \bullet \\ \hline & \bullet & \bullet & \\ \hline \bullet & & & \\ \hline \end{array}$$

Restriction of a Matrix to Γ_P

Definition

For $P \subseteq S_n$, define Γ_P to be the $n \times n$ array with dots in entries $\{(i, w(i)) \mid w \in P\}$. Let $M|_{\Gamma_P}$ be the matrix M with entries changed to 0 wherever there is no dot in Γ_P .

Ex: $w = 2431$, $P = [w, w_0] = \{2431, 3421, 4231, 4321\}$.

$$\Gamma_{[v, w_0]} = \begin{array}{|c|c|c|c|} \hline & \bullet & \bullet & \bullet \\ \hline & \bullet & \bullet & \bullet \\ \hline & \bullet & \bullet & \\ \hline \bullet & & & \\ \hline \end{array}$$

$$M = \begin{bmatrix} 22 & 18 & 6 & 3 \\ 8 & 7 & 3 & 2 \\ 2 & 2 & 1 & 2 \\ 1 & 2 & 2 & 6 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$M|_{\Gamma_{[w, w_0]}} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 18 & 6 & 3 \\ 0 & 7 & 3 & 2 \\ 0 & 2 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

Proposition (C.–Sherman-Bennet, 2020)

If $v \in S_n$ is 1324-, 2143-avoiding then

$$\text{Imm}_v X(R, C) = (-1)^{\ell(v)} \det(X(R, C)|_{\Gamma_{[w, w_0]}}).$$

Proposition (C.–Sherman-Bennet, 2020)

If $v \in S_n$ is 1324-, 2143-avoiding then

$$\text{Imm}_v X(R, C) = (-1)^{\ell(v)} \det(X(R, C)|_{\Gamma_{[w, w_0]}}).$$

We then used Lewis Carroll's identity to do induction.

- k -Positivity
- Immanants and the Dual Canonical Basis
- Main Theorem
- Future Work

- Extend result from 1324-, 2143-avoiding permutations to a larger class.

- Extend result from 1324-, 2143-avoiding permutations to a larger class.
- Explore the relationship between these immanants and cluster algebras.

Thank you!

S. Chepuri and M. Sherman-Bennett, *1324- and 2143-avoiding Kazhdan-Lusztig immanants and k -positivity*, Canadian Journal of Mathematics (2021), 1-33.

S. Chepuri and M. Sherman-Bennett, *k -positivity of dual canonical basis elements from 1324- and 2143-avoiding Kazhdan-Lusztig immanants*, preprint (2021), arXiv:2106.09150.