FURTHER $q$-SERIES IDENTITIES AND CONJECTURES RELATING FALSE THETA FUNCTIONS AND CHARACTERS

CHRIS JENNINGS-SHAFFER AND ANTUN MILAS

Abstract. In this short note, a companion of [19], we discuss several families of $q$-series identities in connection to false and mock theta functions, characters of modules of vertex algebras, and “sum of tails”.

1. Introduction and previous work

In our previous work [19], motivated by character formulas of vertex algebras and super-conformal indices in physics, we obtained various identities for false theta functions including the following elegant identity.

Theorem 1.1. For $k \geq 1$,
$$
\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \text{sgn}(n) q^{(k+1)n^2+kn} (q)_{\infty}^{2k} = \sum_{n_1,n_2,\ldots,n_{2k-1} \geq 0} q^{\sum_{i=1}^{2k-2} n_i n_{i+1} + \sum_{i=1}^{2k-1} n_i} \frac{(q)_{n_1}^2 (q)_{n_2}^2 \cdots (q)_{n_{2k-1}}^2}{(q)_{n_1}^2 (q)_{n_2}^2 \cdots (q)_{n_{2k-1}}^2},
$$

where as usual $(a)_n = \prod_{i=0}^{n-1} (1 - aq^i)$.

We note that these identities have an odd number of summation variables. Interestingly, with an even number of summation variables we obtained a family of modular identities conjectured in [13].

Theorem 1.2. For $k \geq 1$,
$$
\frac{(q,q^{2k+2};q^{2k+3})_{\infty}}{(q)_{\infty}^{2k+1}} = \sum_{n_1,n_2,\ldots,n_{2k} \geq 0} q^{\sum_{i=1}^{2k-1} n_i n_{i+1} + \sum_{i=1}^{2k} n_i} \frac{(q)_{n_1}^2 (q)_{n_2}^2 \cdots (q)_{n_{2k}}^2}{(q)_{n_1}^2 (q)_{n_2}^2 \cdots (q)_{n_{2k}}^2}.
$$

In a somewhat different direction, in the same paper, we also examined $q$-series identities for false theta functions with half-integral characteristics (here $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\epsilon \in \{0, \frac{1}{2}\}$)
$$
\frac{(-q^\frac{1}{2} + \epsilon)_{\infty}}{(q)_{\infty}} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \text{sgn}(n) q^{\frac{1}{2} (2k+1)(n+a)^2},
$$

for some specific rational numbers $a$. We also considered related identities for certain “shifted” false theta series [19, Section 3].

This paper aims to extend (1.1) and (1.2) in a few directions. Firstly, we would like to study related identities for the false theta functions as in (1.3). Secondly, we relax the condition on the poles in (1.1) and (1.2) and perform a search for identities where the $q$-hypergeometric side takes the form
$$
\sum_{n_1,n_2,\ldots,n_k \geq 0} q^{n_1+n_2+\cdots+n_k+n_1 n_2+n_2 n_3+\cdots+n_k n_1} \frac{(q)_{n_1}^r (q)_{n_2}^r \cdots (q)_{n_k}^r}{(q)_{n_1}^r (q)_{n_2}^r \cdots (q)_{n_k}^r},
$$

for some specific rational numbers $r$. We also considered related identities for certain “shifted” false theta series [19, Section 3].
with \( k \leq \sum_{i=1}^{k} r_i \leq 2k \). Lastly, we consider \( q \)-series identities coming from the formal inversion \( q \mapsto q^{-1} \) of the \( q \)-hypergeometric term in (1.1) and (1.2). This procedure is sometimes used for quantum modular forms to extend a \( q \)-series defined in the upper half-plane to the lower half-plane.

Our paper is organized as follows. In Sections 2 and 3 we gather several known facts. In Section 4 we prove analogs of Theorems 1.1 and 1.2 for false and classical theta series with half characteristics (Theorem 4.3 and Proposition 4.4). Section 5 is devoted to “inverted identities”, under \( q \mapsto q^{-1} \), associated to the \( q \)-hypergeometric series in (1.1) and (1.2). We argue that in both cases we expect modular identities. For the inverted \( q \)-series coming from (1.2), this is proven in Proposition 5.1 by reduction to the character formula of a principal subspace of \( A_{2k-1}^{(1)} \). For (1.1), we expect (see Conjecture 5.2) that the resulting inverted series is modular as it is essentially the level one character of the affine vertex algebra \( L_{\text{sp}(2k)}(\Lambda_0) \).

We show that this is indeed true up to a cubic term (Proposition 5.3). In Section 6, we study more complicated \( q \)-hypergeometric series of the form (1.4) with \( k = 2 \) and \( k = 3 \). Continuing, in Section 7 we consider identities for the series (1.4) with \( r_i = 1 \) for all \( i \). For \( 2 \leq k \leq 8 \), except \( k = 7 \), we found several interesting “sums of tails” type identities. Then in Section 8 we connect the \( q \)-series from Section 7 with characters of modules of principal subspaces and infinite jet schemes. We end with a few remarks for future investigations.

2. Quantum dilogarithm

2.1. Quantum dilogarithm. As in [19], we will approach several \( q \)-series identities using the quantum dilogarithm \( \phi(x) := \prod_{i \geq 0} (1 - q^i x) \). Let \( x \) and \( y \) be non-commutative variables such that \( xy = qyx \), then

\[ \phi(y)\phi(x) = \phi(x)\phi(-yx)\phi(y), \]

which is Faddeev and Kashaev’s pentagon identity for the quantum dilogarithm. This identity implies that

\[ \frac{1}{\phi(x)\phi(y)} = \frac{1}{\phi(y)\phi(-yx)\phi(x)}, \]

where \( \phi(x_1)\phi(x_2)\cdots\phi(x_n) \) is understood to denote \( \frac{1}{\phi(x_1)} \frac{1}{\phi(x_2)} \cdots \frac{1}{\phi(x_n)} \). For its relevance in 4d/2d dualities in physics see [13] and references therein.

3. Bailey’s lemma and other known \( q \)-series identities

As in [19], we require Bailey’s lemma and several standard \( q \)-series identities, which we collect in this section. A pair of sequences \((\alpha_n, \beta_n)\) is called a Bailey pair relative to \( a \) if

\[ \beta_n = \sum_{j=0}^{n} \frac{\alpha_j}{(q)_{n-j}(aq)_{n+j}}. \]

The \( k \)-fold iteration of Bailey’s lemma can be found in its entirety as Theorem 3.4 of [2]. This theorem with \( k \mapsto k - 1 \), \( a = q, b_1 = b_2 = \ldots = b_{k-1} \rightarrow \infty, c_1 = c_2 = \cdots = c_{k-2} = q, c_{k-1} = -w^{-1}q, N \rightarrow \infty, \) and \( n_j \mapsto m_{k-j} \) states that

\[ \sum_{m_1, m_2, \ldots, m_{k-1} \geq 0} (-w^{-1}q)_{m_1} (q)_{m_{k-1}} (-1)^{m_2 + m_3 + \cdots + m_{k-1}} q^{m_1(m_1+1)/2 + m_2(m_2+1)/2 + \cdots + m_{k-1}(m_{k-1}+1)/2} w^{m_1} \beta_{m_{k-1}} (q)_{m_1} (q)_{m_2} \cdots (q)_{m_{k-2}} \]
\[
\frac{(-wq)_{\infty}}{(q^2)_{\infty}} \sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{(-w^{-1}q)_n (-1)^{kn} q^{\frac{(k-1)n(n+1)}{2}} w^n \alpha_n}{(-wq)_n},
\]

where \((\alpha_n, \beta_n)\) is any Bailey pair relative to \(a = q\), and \(w \in \mathbb{C}\). We require a single Bailey pair relative to \(a = q\). Specifically this is the Bailey pair \(B(3)\) of Slater [27], which is defined by

\[
\alpha_n^{B3} := \frac{(-1)^n q^{\frac{n(3n+1)}{2}} (1 - q^{2n+1})}{(1 - q)} \quad \beta_n^{B3} := \frac{1}{(q)_n}.
\]

The additional \(q\)-series identity we require are as follows. We have two identities of Euler [17, (II.1) and (II.2)],

\[
\sum_{n \geq 0} z^n \frac{(q)_n}{(q)_{\infty}} = \frac{1}{(z)_{\infty}},
\]

\[
\sum_{n \geq 0} (-1)^n z^n q^{\frac{n(n-1)}{2}} \frac{(q)_n}{(q)_{\infty}} = (z)_{\infty}.
\]

More generally, the \(q\)-binomial theorem [17, (II.3)] states that

\[
\sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{(a)_n z^n}{(q)_n} = \frac{(az)_{\infty}}{(z)_{\infty}}.
\]

We also need two forms of Heine’s transformation [17, (III.1) and (III.2)], which are

\[
\sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{(a, b)_n z^n}{(c, q)_n} = \frac{(b, az)_\infty}{(c, z)_\infty} \sum_{n \geq 0} \left( \frac{a}{b}, \frac{z}{b} \right)_n \frac{b^n}{(az, q)_n},
\]

\[
\sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{(a, b)_n z^n}{(c, q)_n} = \frac{(c, bz)_\infty}{(c, z)_\infty} \sum_{n \geq 0} \left( \frac{abz}{c}, b \right)_n \left( \frac{z}{b} \right)_n.
\]

Lastly, we use Lemma 1 of [4] written as

\[
\frac{1}{(\zeta q^\frac{1}{2}, \zeta^{-1} q^\frac{1}{2})_{\infty}} = \frac{(q)^2_{\infty}}{(q)_{\infty}} \sum_{n_2 \geq |n_1|} (-1)^{n_1+n_2} q^{\frac{n_2(n_2+1)}{2} - \frac{3}{4} n_1^2}.
\]

We note that the summation bound \(n_2 \geq |n_1|\) in (3.8) can be replaced by \(n_2 \geq n_1\).

4. IDENTITIES WITH HALF-CHARACTERISTIC

In this section we extend Theorems [1.1] and [1.2] from the introduction to half-characteristic.

**Proposition 4.1.** Suppose \(k \geq 1\) and \(w \in \mathbb{C}\). Then

\[
\sum_{n_1, n_2, \ldots, n_k \geq 0} q^{\frac{n_1 n_2 + n_2 n_3 + \cdots + n_{k-1} n_k + n_1 + n_2 + \cdots + n_k}{2}} (-w)_n \frac{\phi \left(-w q^\frac{1}{2} \zeta_1 \right)}{\phi \left(q^\frac{1}{2} \zeta_1 \right)} \frac{1}{\phi \left(q^\frac{1}{2} \zeta_1 \right) \phi \left(q^\frac{1}{2} \zeta_{j-1}^{-1} \right)} \frac{1}{\phi \left(q^\frac{1}{2} \zeta_k^{-1} \right)},
\]

where the \(\zeta_j\) are non-commuting variables with \(\zeta_j \zeta_{j+1} = q \zeta_{j+1} \zeta_j\) for \(1 \leq j \leq k - 1\).
Proof: The proof is similar to that of Theorems 1.1 and 1.2. We expand $\phi(-w q^{1/2} \zeta_1)/\phi(q^{1/2} \zeta_1)$ with the $q$-binomial theorem (3.5) and all other products are expanded with Euler’s identity (3.3). By doing so we have

$$
\frac{\phi(-w q^{1/2} \zeta_1)}{\phi(q^{1/2} \zeta_1)} \left( \prod_{j=2}^{k} \frac{1}{\phi(q^{1/2} \zeta_j) \phi(q^{1/2} \zeta_{j-1})} \right) \frac{1}{\phi(q^{1/2} \zeta_{k-1})} = \sum_{n,m \in \mathbb{N}_0^k} \frac{q_n q_{n+1} q_{n+2} \cdots q_{n+k} (-w)^{n_1}}{(q_{n_1} q_{n_2} q_{n_3} \cdots q_{n_k}) m_{n_1} \zeta_{n_1}^{m_1} \cdots \zeta_{n_k}^{m_k-1}}.
$$

The constant term then clearly comes from taking $m_j = n_j$ and the proposition follows. 

In the lemma below, we give an intermediate identity that is required so that we may apply Bailey’s lemma.

Lemma 4.2. Suppose $k \geq 2$ and $w \in \mathbb{C}$. Then

$$
\sum_{n_1, n_2, \ldots, n_k \geq 0} q^{n_1 n_2 + n_3 \cdots + n_{k-1} n_k + n_1 + n_2 + \cdots + n_k} (-w)^{n_1} = \frac{1}{(q)_k} \sum_{m_1, m_2, \ldots, m_{k-1} \geq 0} (-1)^{m_2 + \cdots + m_{k-1}} q^{m_1 (m_1 + 1) + m_2 (m_2 + 1) + \cdots + m_{k-1} (m_{k-1} + 1)} w^{m_1} (-w^{-1})^{m_1}.
$$

Proof: We begin by reevaluating the constant term in (4.1) by applying (2.2) and expanding the products with (3.5), (3.3), and (3.8). For convenience with the indices, we instead use $\zeta_0, \zeta_1, \ldots, \zeta_{k-1}$. With this all mind, we find that

$$
\frac{\phi(-w q^{1/2} \zeta_0)}{\phi(q^{1/2} \zeta_0)} \left( \prod_{j=1}^{k-1} \frac{1}{\phi(q^{1/2} \zeta_j) \phi(q^{1/2} \zeta_{j-1})} \right) \frac{1}{\phi(q^{1/2} \zeta_{k-1})} = \frac{1}{(q)_{2k-2}} \sum_{n,m \in \mathbb{N}_0^{k-1}} \frac{(-1)^j \phi(-q^{1/2} \zeta_j) \phi(q^{1/2} \zeta_{j-1})}{(q_{n_1} q_{n_2} q_{n_3} \cdots q_{n_k})} (-w)^{r_1}.
$$
We transform the inner sum on \( r \) by \( r = r_1 - \ell_1 \).

The constant term comes from \( n_j = \ell_{j+1} - \ell_j \) for \( 1 \leq j \leq k - 2 \), \( n_{k-1} = -\ell_{k-1} \), and \( r_2 = r_1 - \ell_1 \). For the index bounds, we replace \( m_{k-1} \geq n_{k-1} \) with \( m_{k-1} \geq |n_{k-1}| \). Thus by Proposition 4.1

\[
\sum_{n_1, n_2, \ldots, n_k \geq 0} q^{n_1 n_2 + n_2 n_3 + \cdots + n_{k-1} n_k + n_1 + 2 + \cdots + n_k} (-w)_{n_1}^k
\]

\[
= \frac{1}{(q)_{\infty}^{2k-2}} \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}^k, l \in \mathbb{N}^k} (-1)^{k-1} \sum_{j=1}^k \frac{m_j (m_j + 1)}{2} \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} \frac{j \cdot j}{2} - \frac{k^2}{2} - \frac{k^2}{2} + \frac{1}{2} (-w)_r
\]

\[
\times q^{\ell_1 + \ell_{k-1} + \ell_1}
\]

Due to convergence issues in certain calculations below, we view the far right-hand side of (4.2) as the \( x \to 1 \) case of

\[
F(x) := \frac{1}{(q)_{\infty}^{2k-2}} \sum_{r \in \mathbb{N}_0, l, m \in \mathbb{N}^k} (-1)^{k-1} \sum_{j=1}^k \frac{m_j (m_j + 1)}{2} + \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} \frac{j (j+1)}{2} + \frac{1}{2} (-w)_r
\]

\[
\times q^{\ell_1 + \ell_{k-1} + \ell_1}
\]

We transform the inner sum on \( r \) with Heine's transformation \((3.6)\) with \( a = 0, b = -x w q^{\ell_1} \), \( c = x q^{\ell_1+1} \), and \( z = q \), as

\[
\sum_{r \geq 0} (-x w)_{r + \ell_1} q^r = \frac{(-x w)_{\ell_1}}{(x q)_{\ell_1}} \sum_{r \geq 0} (-x w q^{\ell_1})_{r} q^r = \frac{(-x w)_{\infty}}{(x q)_{\infty}} \sum_{r \geq 0} (-w^{-1} q)_{r} (1-q^r)_{r+\ell_1}
\]

we note that when \( x = 1 \), the final series above is not absolutely convergent for all \( w \) and \( \ell_1 \). Thus for \( |x w| < 1 \),

\[
F(x) = \frac{(-x w)_{\infty}}{(x q)_{\infty} (q)_{\infty}^{2k-1}} \sum_{r \in \mathbb{N}_0, l, m \in \mathbb{N}^k} (-1)^{k-1} \sum_{j=1}^k \frac{m_j (m_j + 1)}{2} + \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} \frac{j (j+1)}{2} + \frac{1}{2} (-w)_r
\]

\[
\times q^{\ell_1 + \ell_{k-1} + \ell_1}
\]
\[-\ell_m \ell_n + \sum_{j=2}^{k-2} \ell_j (m_{j-1} - m_j) + \ell_k (m_{k-1} + m_k - 1) + \ell_1\]

\[x^r w^r (-w^{-1} q)_r.\]

We evaluate the inner sums on each \(\ell_j\) with (3.4) to find that

\[\sum_{\ell_j \geq 0} (-1)^{\ell_j} q^{\ell_j (1 + m_j - 1)} q^{\frac{\ell_j (\ell_j - 1)}{2}} (q)_{\ell_j} = (q^{1 + m_j - 1}) = (q)_{m_j - 1},\quad \text{for } 2 \leq j \leq k - 2,
\]

\[\sum_{\ell_{k-1} \geq 0} (-1)^{\ell_{k-1}} q^{\ell_{k-1} (1 + m_{k-2} + m_{k-1})} q^{\frac{\ell_{k-1} (\ell_{k-1} - 1)}{2}} (q)_{\ell_{k-1}} = (q^{2 + m_{k-2} + m_{k-1}}) = (q)_{m_k - 1}.\]

Thus, for \(|xw| < 1\),

\[F(x) = \frac{(-xw)_{\infty}}{(xq)_{\infty}(q)_{\infty}^{(r,m_1,m_2,\ldots,m_{k-1}) \geq 0}} \sum_{r \geq 0} (-1)^r x^r w^r (-w^{-1} q)_r (q)_{r - m_1} (q_{m_1} m_2 m_3 \cdots (q)_{m_k - 1})(m_{k-2} + m_{k-1} + m_{k-1} + 1)
\]

\[= \frac{(-xw)_{\infty}}{(xq)_{\infty}(q)_{\infty}^{(r,m_1,m_2,\ldots,m_{k-1}) \geq 0}} \sum_{r \geq 0} (-1)^r x^r w^r (-w^{-1} q)_r (q)_{r - m_1} (q_{m_1} m_2 m_3 \cdots (q)_{m_k - 1})(m_{k-2} + m_{k-1})
\]

where the second equality follows from Heine’s transformation (3.7) with \(a \to \infty, b = q, c = q^{2 + m_{k-2}}, z = \frac{q}{a}\), applied to the inner sum on \(m_{k-1}\). By (3.5), the sum on \(r\) is

\[\sum_{r \geq 0} (-1)^r x^r w^r (-w^{-1} q)_r (q)_{r - m_1} = (-1)^{m_1} x^{m_1} w^{m_1} (-w^{-1} q)_m \sum_{r \geq 0} (-1)^r x^r w^r (-w^{-1} q^{m_1 + 1})_r (q)_{r - m_1} \]

\[\sum_{r \geq 0} (-1)^r x^r w^r (-w^{-1} q)_r (q)_{r - m_1} (xq)_{m_1} (-xw)_{\infty}
\]

and so

\[F(x) = \frac{1}{(q)_{\infty}^{k}} \sum_{m_1,m_2,\ldots,m_{k-1} \geq 0} (-1)^{m_j} q^{\frac{m_j}{2} m_{j+1}} x^m w^{m_1} (-w^{-1} q)_m (xq)_{m_1} (q_{m_1 - m_2} (q_{m_2 - m_3} \cdots (q)_{m_k - 1} - 1).
\]

This form of \(F(x)\) is well defined for exactly the same values of \(x\) as (4.3) and so we find the lemma follows by setting \(x = 1\).

Our extension of Theorems 1.1 and 1.2 to the series in (1.3) is given here.

**Theorem 4.3.** Suppose \(k \geq 2\). Then

\[\sum_{n_1,n_2,\ldots,n_k \geq 0} q^{n_1 n_2 + n_2 n_3 + \cdots + n_{k-1} n_k + n_1 + n_2 + \cdots + n_k} (-1)_{n_1} (q)_{n_2} \cdots (q)_{n_k}
\]

\[= \frac{(-q)_{\infty}}{(q)_{k+1}^{k+1}} \sum_{n \geq 0} (-1)^k \sum_{n \geq 0} (-1)^{k+1} q^{(k+2)n^2 + kn},
\]
Proposition 4.4. Suppose

\[
\sum_{n_1, n_2, \ldots, n_k \geq 0} \frac{q^{n_1 n_2 + n_2 n_3 + \cdots + n_k - 1} q^{n_1 + n_2 + \cdots + n_k} (-q^{\frac{1}{2}})_{n_1}}{(q)_{n_1}^2 (q)_{n_2}^2 \cdots (q)_{n_k}^2 (q)_{n_1}^2 (q)_{n_2}^2 \cdots (q)_{n_k}^2 (-w)_{n_1}}
\]

\[
= \frac{(-q^{\frac{1}{2}})^\infty}{(q)_{k+1}^k \infty} \left( \sum_{n \geq 0} + (-1)^k \sum_{n < 0} \right) (-1)^{(k+1)n} q^{\frac{(k+2)n^2 + kn}{2}} w^n (1 - q^{2n+1}) .
\]

Proof: We see that the series in the right-hand side of the identity in Lemma 4.2 perfectly matches the statement of Bailey’s lemma (3.1) with the Bailey pair in (3.2). By combining these statements we have that

\[
\sum_{n_1, n_2, \ldots, n_k \geq 0} \frac{q^{n_1 n_2 + n_2 n_3 + \cdots + n_k - 1} q^{n_1 + n_2 + \cdots + n_k} (-w)_{n_1}}{(q)_{n_1}^2 (q)_{n_2}^2 \cdots (q)_{n_k}^2 (w)_{n_1}}
\]

\[
= \frac{(-wq)^\infty}{(q)_{k+1}^k \infty} \left( \sum_{n \geq 0} + (-1)^k \sum_{n < 0} \right) (-1)^{(k+1)n} q^{\frac{(k+2)n^2 + kn}{2}} w^n (1 - q^{2n+1}).
\]

When \( w = 1 \), the right-hand side of (4.4) simplifies to

\[
\frac{(-q)^\infty}{(q)_{k+1}^k \infty} \left( \sum_{n \geq 0} + (-1)^k \sum_{n < 0} \right) (-1)^{(k+1)n} q^{\frac{(k+2)n^2 + kn}{2}} w^n (1 - q^{2n+1})
\]

\[
= \frac{(-q)^\infty}{(q)_{k+1}^k \infty} \left( \sum_{n \geq 0} + (-1)^k \sum_{n < 0} \right) (-1)^{(k+1)n} q^{\frac{(k+2)n^2 + kn}{2}},
\]

as claimed. When \( w = q^{\frac{1}{2}} \), the right-hand side of (4.4) instead simplifies as

\[
\frac{(-q^{\frac{1}{2}})^\infty}{(q)_{k+1}^k \infty} \left( \sum_{n \geq 0} + (-1)^k \sum_{n < 0} \right) (-1)^{(k+1)n} q^{\frac{(k+2)n^2 + (k+1)n}{2}}
\]

\[
= \frac{(-q^{\frac{1}{2}})^\infty}{(q)_{k+1}^k \infty} \left( \sum_{n \geq 0} + (-1)^k \sum_{n < 0} \right) (-1)^{(k+1)n} q^{\frac{(k+2)n^2 + (k+1)n}{2}} .
\]

There is a similar identity that comes from taking \( w = -q^{\frac{1}{2}} \). We state this identity in the proposition below, but omit the proof as it is essentially the same as the other two cases.

Proposition 4.4. Suppose \( k \geq 2 \). Then

\[
\sum_{n_1, n_2, \ldots, n_k \geq 0} \frac{q^{n_1 n_2 + n_2 n_3 + \cdots + n_k - 1} q^{n_1 + n_2 + \cdots + n_k} (q^{\frac{1}{2}})_{n_1}}{(q)_{n_1}^2 (q)_{n_2}^2 \cdots (q)_{n_k}^2 (q)_{n_1}^2 (q)_{n_2}^2 \cdots (q)_{n_k}^2 (q^{\frac{1}{2}})_{n_1}}
\]

\[
= \frac{(q^{\frac{1}{2}})^\infty}{(q)_{k+1}^k \infty} \left( \sum_{n \geq 0} + (-1)^k \sum_{n < 0} \right) (-1)^{kn} q^{\frac{(k+2)n^2 + (k+1)n}{2}}.
\]

5. Identities for characters

In this section we study \( q \)-series identities coming from the formal inversion \( q \mapsto q^{-1} \) in the \( q \)-hypergeometric term in (1.1) and (1.2). As in [19] we make use of quantum dilogarithms to prove the following result.
Conjecture 5.2. Let
\[ \text{ch}_W(\tau) = \sum_{n_1, n_2, \ldots, n_{2k-1} \geq 0} \frac{q^{\sum_{i=1}^{2k-1} n_i^2 - \sum_{i=1}^{2k-1} n_i n_{i+1}}}{(q)_{n_1}(q)_{n_2} \cdots (q)_{n_{2k-1}}} \]
de note the character of the principal subspaces of the level one vertex operator algebra \( W(\Lambda_0) \) of type \( A_{2k-1}^{(1)} \) \cite{12, 15}. Then
\[ \sum_{n_1, n_2, \ldots, n_{2k} \geq 0} \frac{q^{\sum_{i=1}^{2k} n_i^2 - \sum_{i=1}^{2k-1} n_i n_{i+1}}}{(q)_{n_1}(q)_{n_2} \cdots (q)_{n_{2k}}} = \frac{1}{(q)_{2k}} \text{ch}_W(\tau). \]
Moreover, after multiplication by \( q^a \) for some \( a \in \mathbb{Q} \), this a modular form.

**Proof:** This follows by verifying that
\[
\sum_{n_1, \ldots, n_k \geq 0} \frac{q^{n_1^2 + n_2^2 + \cdots + n_k^2 - n_1 n_2 - n_2 n_3 - \cdots - n_{k-1} n_k}}{(q)_{n_1}(q)_{n_2} \cdots (q)_{n_k}} \\
= CT_{z_1, z_2, \ldots, z_k} \phi(q^{1/2} z_1) \left( \prod_{j=2}^{k} \phi(q^{1/2} z_j) \phi(q^{1/2} z_{j-1}^{-1}) \right) \phi(q^{1/2} z_k^{-1}) \\
= CT_{z_1, z_2, \ldots, z_k} \phi(q^{1/2} z_1) \phi(q^{1/2} z_1^{-1}) \prod_{j=2}^{k} \phi(-q z_j z_{j-1}^{-1}) \phi(q^{1/2} z_j) \phi(q^{1/2} z_j^{-1}) \\
= \frac{1}{(q)_{\infty}} \sum_{n_1, \ldots, n_{k-1} \geq 0} \frac{q^{n_1^2 + n_2^2 + \cdots + n_{k-1}^2 - n_1 n_2 - n_2 n_3 - \cdots - n_{k-2} n_{k-1}}}{(q)_{n_1}(q)_{n_2} \cdots (q)_{n_{k-1}}},
\]
where the \( z_i \) are non-commuting variables with \( z_i z_{i+1} = q^{-1} z_{i+1} z_i \).

For the modularity, we first use an identity from \cite{28, Theorem 2.1}. This allows us to write \( \text{ch}_W(\tau) \) as a modular Wronskian of certain theta functions \cite{11} (as usual, we have to multiply by \( q^3 \)), which is known to be modular with respect to some congruence subgroup \cite{22}.

\[ \square \]

For the \( q \)-hypergeometric series appearing in Theorem \cite{11} we expect a family of modular identities. We first define \( F_k(q) \) by letting
\[ \sum_{n_1, n_2, \ldots, n_{2k+1} \geq 0} \frac{q^{\sum_{i=1}^{2k+1} n_i^2 - \sum_{i=1}^{2k} n_i n_{i+1}}}{(q)_{n_1}(q)_{n_2} \cdots (q)_{n_{2k+1}}} = \frac{1}{(q)_{2k+1}} F_k(q). \] (5.1)

We believe the following to be true.

**Conjecture 5.2.** For \( k \geq 1 \), we have
\[ F_k(q) = \text{ch}[L_{sp(2k)}(\Lambda_0)](q), \]
which is the character of the (suitably normalized) level one affine vertex algebra \( L_{sp(2k)}(\Lambda_0) \) of type \( C_k^{(1)} \).

Now we provide evidence in support of Conjecture (5.2).
Proposition 5.3. For \( k \geq 1 \), we have

\[
F_k(q) = 1 + (2k + 1)kq + \frac{1}{3}k(3 + 5k + 4k^3)q^2 + O(q^3).
\]

Proof: We first let

\[
\sum_{n_1, \ldots, n_{2k+1} \geq 0} q^{\sum_{i=1}^{2k+1} n_i^2 - \sum_{i=1}^{2k} n_i n_{i+1}} = 1 + d_k q + a_k q^2 + O(q^3).
\]

The linear term is clear, it simply counts the number of positive roots in the root system of type \( A_{2k+1} \). Put differently, it counts the number of positive integral solutions of

\[
\sum_{i=1}^{2k+1} n_i^2 - \sum_{i=1}^{2k} n_i n_{i+1} = 1.
\] (5.2)

These solutions are exactly of the form \((n_1, \ldots, n_{2k+1}) = (0, \ldots, 0, 1, \ldots, 1, 0, \ldots, 0)\), and it is easily seen that the number of them is \( d_k = (2k + 1)(k + 1) \).

We claim that \( a_k = \frac{1}{3}(1 + k)(1 + 2k)(6 + 3k + 2k^2) \). For this, we first note that the contribution from the quadratic term comes from two sources, specifically from the terms \( \frac{q}{(q)_{n_1}^2 (q)_{n_2}^2 \cdots (q)_{n_{2k+1}}^2} \) and \( \frac{q}{(q)_{n_1}^2 (q)_{n_2}^2 \cdots (q)_{n_{2k+1}}^2} \). As such we have to analyze non-negative integral solutions of (5.2) and of

\[
\sum_{i=1}^{2k+1} n_i^2 - \sum_{i=1}^{2k} n_i n_{i+1} = 2.
\] (5.3)

Clearly the solutions of (5.2) contribute a total of \( \sum_{0 \leq j < i \leq 2k+1} 2(i-j) = \frac{2}{3}(k+1)(1+2k)(3+2k) \) to the quadratic coefficient. For the second equation, no solution has \( n_i \geq 3 \), and so we may assume \( 0 \leq n_i \leq 2 \). Next we consider two types of solutions: (a) solutions with all \( n_i \leq 1 \), and (b) solutions with at least one \( n_i = 2 \). In case (a), we see that in each such solution there must be exactly two substrings of 1s:

\[
(0, \ldots, 0, 1, \ldots, 1, 0, \ldots, 0).
\] (5.4)

For (b), each solution takes form:

\[
(0, \ldots, 0, 1, \ldots, 1, 2, \ldots, 2, 1, \ldots, 1, 0, \ldots, 0).
\]

In both cases the initial or terminal subsequence of 0s can be empty. For either (a) and (b), it is easy to see combinatorially that we have precisely \( \binom{2k+1}{2} \) solutions. Therefore, altogether there are

\[
2 \binom{2k+2}{4} + \frac{2}{3}(k+1)(1+2k)(3+2k) = \frac{1}{3}(1+k)(1+2k)(6+3k+2k^2)
\]
solutions. Next we note \( \frac{1}{q^{1/2}} = 1 + (2k+1)q + (2 + 5k + 2k^2)q^2 + O(q^3) \) and we write

\[
\sum_{n_1, n_2, \ldots, n_{2k+1} \geq 0} q^{\sum_{i=1}^{2k+1} n_i^2 - \sum_{i=1}^{2k} n_i n_{i+1}} = (1 + (2k+1)q + (2 + 5k + 2k^2)q^2 + \cdots) F_k(q).
\]

Expanding \( F(q) = 1 + aq + bq^2 + O(q^3) \) and and solving for \( a \) and \( b \) gives \( a = (2k+1)k \) and \( b = \frac{1}{3}(3k + 5k^2 + 4k^4) \) as claimed. \( \square \)

Since this is in agreement with the known properties of \( \text{ch}[L_{sp(2k)}(\Lambda_0)](\tau) \) (see [26]), our conjecture is valid \( O(q^3) \).
6. Identities for Nahm-type sums with higher order poles

Our interest is identities for $q$-hypergeometric multi-sums of the form

$$F(r_1, r_2, \ldots, r_k) := \sum_{n_1, n_2, \ldots, n_k \geq 0} q^{n_1 + n_2 + \cdots + n_k + n_1 + n_2 + n_3 + \cdots + n_{k-1} n_k} \frac{r_1^{n_1} r_2^{n_2} \cdots r_k^{n_k}}{(q r_1)^{n_1} (q r_2)^{n_2} \cdots (q r_k)^{n_k}},$$

where each $r_i \geq 1$ and $r_1 + r_2 + \cdots + r_k \leq 2k$. While these sums are too general for us to form a single coherent conjecture, we do see a large number of identities for small $k$. In particular, all of the following are either known or easy to prove:

**Proposition 6.1.** We have,

$$F(1, 1) = \frac{1}{(1 - q)(q)_{\infty}}, \quad F(1, 2) = \frac{1}{(q)_{\infty}^3}, \quad F(1, 3) = \frac{\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \text{sgn}(n) q^{2n^2 + n}}{(q; q)_{\infty}^3},$$

$$F(2, 2) = \frac{(q, q^4, q^5; q^5)}{(q)_{\infty}^3}, \quad F(1, 1, 1) = \frac{q^{-1} (1 - (q; q)_{\infty})}{(q; q)_{\infty}^2}, \quad F(1, 1, 2) = \frac{\sum_{n \geq 0} (-1)^n q^{n(n+3)/2}}{(q; q)_{\infty}^3},$$

$$F(1, 2, 1) = \frac{1}{(1 - q)(q; q)_{\infty}^2}, \quad F(1, 2, 2) = \frac{1}{(q; q)_{\infty}^3}, \quad F(1, 3, 1) = \frac{1}{(q; q)_{\infty}^3},$$

$$F(1, 2, 3) = \frac{\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \text{sgn}(n) q^{2n^2 + n}}{(q)_{\infty}^4}, \quad F(1, 3, 2) = \frac{(q, q^4, q^5; q^5)}{(q; q)_{\infty}^4}, \quad F(1, 4, 1) = \frac{\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \text{sgn}(n) q^{2n^2 + n}}{(q)_{\infty}^4},$$

$$F(2, 2, 2) = \frac{\sum_{n \geq 1} (-1)^n q^{n(n+1)+1}}{(1 - q)(q)_{\infty}^4}.\quad F(2, 2, 2) = \frac{\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \text{sgn}(n) q^{3n^2 + 2n}}{(q; q)_{\infty}^4}.$$

**Proof:** By (3.3), the following two identities hold,

$$F(1, 1) = \frac{1}{(1 - q)(q)_{\infty}}, \quad F(1, 2) = \frac{1}{(q)_{\infty}^2}.$$

The identity for $F(2, 2)$ is simply the $k = 1$ case of Theorem 1.2. For $F(1, 3)$, we begin with (3.3) and find that

$$F(1, 3) = \frac{1}{(q)_{\infty}^3} \sum_{n \geq 0} q^n (q)_{\infty}^2 = \frac{1}{(q)_{\infty}^3} \sum_{n \geq 0} (-1)^n q^{n(n+1)+1} = \frac{1}{(q)_{\infty}^3} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \text{sgn}(n) q^{n(n+1)+1},$$

where the second equality follows from (3.6) with $a = b = 0$ and $c = z = q$.

Additional usage of (3.3) then yields

$$F(1, 2, 1) = \frac{F(1, 1)}{(q)_{\infty}^2} = \frac{1}{(1 - q)(q)_{\infty}^2}, \quad F(1, 2, 2) = \frac{F(1, 2)}{(q)_{\infty}^3} = \frac{1}{(q)_{\infty}^3},$$

$$F(1, 3, 1) = \frac{F(1, 2)}{(q)_{\infty}^3} = \frac{1}{(q)_{\infty}^3}, \quad F(1, 2, 3) = \frac{F(1, 3)}{(q)_{\infty}^4} = \frac{1}{(q)_{\infty}^4} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \text{sgn}(n) q^{2n^2 + n},$$

$$F(1, 3, 2) = \frac{F(2, 2)}{(q)_{\infty}^4} = \frac{(q, q^4, q^5; q^5)_{\infty}}{(q)_{\infty}^4}, \quad F(1, 4, 1) = \frac{F(3, 1)}{(q)_{\infty}^4} = \frac{1}{(q)_{\infty}^4} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \text{sgn}(n) q^{2n^2 + n}.$$

The identity for $F(2, 2, 2)$ is Theorem 1.1 with $k = 2$. For $F(1, 1, 1)$, we begin with two applications of (3.3) and then apply (3.6) with $a = b = z = q$ and $c = 0$, which is

$$F(1, 1, 1) = \frac{1}{(q)_{\infty}^2} \sum_{n \geq 0} (q)_{n} q^n = \frac{(q^2)_{\infty}}{(q)_{\infty}^2} \sum_{n \geq 0} q^n (q^2)_{n} = \frac{1}{(q)_{\infty}} \sum_{n \geq 1} q^{n-1} (q)_{n} = \frac{q^{-1}}{(q)_{\infty}} \left( \frac{1}{(q)_{\infty}} - 1 \right).$$
For \( F(1, 1, 2) \), we begin with (3.3) and end with (3.6),

\[
F(1, 1, 2) = \frac{1}{(q)_\infty} \sum_{n_2, n_3 \geq 0} q^{n_2 + n_3 + n_2 n_3} (q^2)^n_{n_3} = \frac{1}{(q)_\infty} \sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{q^n}{(q^2)^n (1 - q^{n+1})}
\]

\[
= \frac{1}{(1 - q)(q)_\infty} \sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{q^n}{(q^2, q)_n} = \frac{1}{(q)_3} \sum_{n \geq 0} (-1)^n q^{n(n+3) / 2}.
\]

The identity for \( F(2, 1, 2) \) is slightly more involved in that it requires two applications of Heine's transformation. In particular, starting with (3.3),

\[
F(2, 1, 2) = \sum_{n_1, n_3 \geq 0} q^{n_1 + n_3} (q^2)^{n_1} (q^2)^{n_3} (1 + n_1 + n_3)_\infty = \frac{1}{(q)_\infty} \sum_{n, m \geq 0} (q)^{n+m(q^{n+m}} (q^2)^{n}(q^2)^{m}
\]

\[
= \frac{1}{(q)_\infty} \sum_{n \geq 0} (q)^n \sum_{m \geq 0} (0, q^{n+1})_m q^m (q^2)^m = \frac{1}{(q)^2} \sum_{n, m \geq 0} (-1)^m q^{n+\frac{(m+1)}{2}} (q)_n (q)_{n-m}
\]

\[
= \frac{1}{(q)^2} \sum_{m \geq 0} (-1)^m q^{\frac{(m+1)}{2}} \sum_{n \geq 0} (q)_{n} (q^m+1, q)_n
\]

\[
= \frac{1}{(q)^2} \sum_{m \geq 0} (-1)^m q^{\frac{(m+1)}{2}} (q)_{m} \sum_{n \geq 0} (q^m+1, q)_n
\]

\[
= \frac{1}{(q)^4} \sum_{n, m \geq 0} (-1)^n q^{\frac{n(n+1)}{2} + nm + \frac{m(m+1)}{2}}.
\]

However,

\[
(1 - q) \sum_{n, m \geq 0} (-1)^{n+m} q^{\frac{n(n+1)}{2} + nm + \frac{m(m+1)}{2}}
\]

\[
= \sum_{n \geq 0} (n+1) q^{\frac{n(n+1)}{2} + nm + \frac{m(m+1)}{2}} - \sum_{m \geq 1} (n+1) q^{\frac{n(n+1)}{2} + nm + \frac{m(m+1)}{2}}
\]

\[
= \sum_{n \geq 1} (n+1) q^{\frac{n(n+1)}{2}} - \sum_{m \geq 1} (n+1) q^{\frac{m(m+1)}{2}} = 1 + 2 \sum_{n \geq 1} (-1)^n q^{\frac{n(n+1)}{2}},
\]

so that

\[
F(2, 1, 2) = \frac{1}{(1 - q)(q)^4} \left( 1 + 2 \sum_{n \geq 1} (-1)^n q^{\frac{n(n+1)}{2}} \right).
\]

Note that \( F(1, 1, 3) \), \( F(1, 1, 4) \), and \( F(2, 1, 3) \) are missing in the identities above.

Many of the identities for \( F(r_1, r_2, r_3) \) follow from identities for \( F(r_1, r_2) \). This is because (3.3) gives that \( F(1, r_2, \ldots, r_k) = \frac{1}{(q)_\infty} F(r_2 - 1, r_3, \ldots, r_k) \), and trivially \( F(r_1, r_2, \ldots, r_k) = F(r_k, \ldots, r_2, r_1) \). Thus each identity for \( F(1, r_2, \ldots, r_k) \) yields an identity for \( F(1, r_2 + 1, r_3, \ldots, r_k) \). In the following proposition, we record one particularly simple form of this iteration.
Proposition 6.2. For $k \geq 2$,
\[
\sum_{n_1, n_2, \ldots, n_k \geq 0} \frac{q^{n_1 + n_2 + \cdots + n_k + n_1 n_2 + n_2 n_3 + \cdots + n_{k-1} n_k}}{(q)_{n_1}(q)_{n_2}^2(q)_{n_3}^2 \cdots (q)_{n_{k-1}}^2(q)_{n_k}} = \frac{1}{(1 - q)(q)_\infty^{k-1}}.
\]

7. Relations with Sum of Tails Identities

Continuing from the previous section, we focus on the case $n_1 = n_2 = \cdots = n_k = 1$, where $4 \leq k \leq 8$. Here we see certain Lambert series, quantum modular forms, and quasi-modular forms can appear. We have identities for $k = 4$, 5, and 6, and conjectures for $k = 7$ and 8.

7.1. $k = 4$. Using (3.3), it is easy to show that
\[
\sum_{n_1, n_2, n_3, n_4 \geq 0} \frac{q^{n_1 + n_2 + n_3 + n_4 + n_1 n_2 + n_2 n_3 + n_3 n_4}}{(q)_{n_1}(q)_{n_2}(q)_{n_3}(q)_{n_4}} = \frac{1}{(q)_\infty^2} \sum_{n_2, n_3 \geq 0} q^{n_2 + n_3} = \frac{q^{-1}}{(q)_\infty^2} \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{q^n}{1 - q^n}.
\]
To see the connection with the identities for $k \geq 5$ and sums of tails identities, we note that we can also write
\[
\frac{1}{(q)_\infty} \sum_{n \geq 1} q^n (1 - q^n) = \sum_{n \geq 0} \left( \frac{1}{(q)_\infty} - \frac{1}{(q)_n} \right) = \frac{1}{(q)_\infty} - 1 + \sum_{n \geq 1} \left( \frac{1}{(q)_\infty} - \frac{1}{(q)_n} \right).
\]

7.2. $k = 5$. Again by (3.3), we have
\[
\sum_{n_1, n_2, n_3, n_4, n_5 \geq 0} \frac{q^{n_1 + n_2 + n_3 + n_4 + n_5 + n_1 n_2 + n_2 n_3 + n_3 n_4 + n_4 n_5}}{(q)_{n_1}(q)_{n_2}(q)_{n_3}(q)_{n_4}(q)_{n_5}} = \frac{1}{(q)_\infty^2} \sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{q^n}{n(1 - q^{n+1})^2}.
\]
We note that the series has a straight-forward combinatorial interpretation. In particular,
\[
\sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{q^{n+1}}{(q)_n(1 - q^{n+1})^2} = \sum_{n \geq 0} t(n) q^n,
\]
where $t(n)$ is the sum of the numbers of times that the largest part appears in each partition of $n$, which is reminiscent of Andrews celebrated smallest parts partition function [6]. The function $t(n)$ was studied for its asymptotic properties in [18].

It turns out this series has a much more interesting representation,
\[
\frac{1}{(q)_\infty^3} \sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{q^n}{n(1 - q^{n+1})^2} = \frac{q^{-1}}{(q)_\infty^2} \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{q^{n+m}}{(q)_n} = \frac{q^{-1}}{(q)_\infty^2} \sum_{m \geq 0} \left( -1 + \frac{1}{(q^{m+1})_\infty} \right)
\]
\[
= \frac{q^{-1}}{(q)_\infty^3} \sum_{m \geq 0} ((q)_m - (q)_\infty) = -\frac{q^{-1}}{2(q)_\infty^3} \sum_{n \geq 1} n \left( \frac{12}{n^2} \right) q^{n^2 - 1} - \frac{q^{-1}}{(q)_\infty^2} \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{q^n}{1 - q^n} + \frac{q^{-1}}{2(q)_\infty^3},
\]
where the final equation follows by Theorem 2 of [25] and the discussion leading up to it. We note that upon ignoring the factor of $\frac{q^{-1}}{(q)_\infty^3}$, this final series was an essential component in Zagier’s study [29] of a “strange identity” for Kontsevich’s function and Zagier’s construction of prototypical examples of quantum modular forms [30].
7.3. $k = 6$. In this case, we find that
\[
\sum_{n_1, n_2, n_3, n_4, n_5, n_6 \geq 0} q^{n_1 + n_2 + n_3 + n_4 + n_5 + n_6 + n_1 n_2 + n_2 n_3 + n_3 n_4 + n_4 n_5 + n_5 n_6} \left( q_n (q)_{n_2} (q)_{n_3} (q)_{n_4} (q)_{n_5} (q)_{n_6} \right) = \frac{1}{(q)_{\infty}} \sum_{n, m \geq 0} q^{n + m + nm} \left( \frac{1}{(q)_{n+1}} (q)_{m+1} \right).
\]

We mention in passing that the double sum appears to have a partition theoretic interpretation and matches entry A179862 of OEIS. More interesting is how this series reduces. Again by (3.3), we have
\[
\frac{1}{(q)_{\infty}} \sum_{n, m \geq 0} q^{n + m + nm} = \frac{1}{(q)_{\infty}} \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{q^n}{(q)_n} = \frac{1}{(q)_{\infty}} \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{1}{(q)_n} \left( \frac{1}{(q)_{\infty}} - 1 \right)
\]
\[
= \frac{1}{(q)_{\infty}} \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{q^n}{1 - q^n} \left( \frac{1}{(q)_{\infty}} - 1 \right) = \frac{1}{(q)_{\infty}} \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{q^n}{1 - q^n} + \frac{1}{(q)_{\infty}^2} \sum_{n \geq 0} \left( \frac{1}{(q)_{\infty}} - 1 \right)
\]
\[
= 2q^{-1} \frac{1}{(q)_{\infty}^3} \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{q^n}{1 - q^n} - \frac{1}{(q)_{\infty}^2} + \frac{1}{(q)_{\infty}^2},
\]
where the final equality follows directly from Theorem 2 of [7] with $a = 0$ and $b = c$.

7.4. $k = 7$. Here we have a conjectural identity:
\[
\sum_{n_1, n_2, n_3, n_4, n_5, n_6, n_7 \geq 0} q^{n_1 + n_2 + n_3 + n_4 + n_5 + n_6 + n_7 + n_1 n_2 + n_2 n_3 + n_3 n_4 + n_4 n_5 + n_5 n_6 + n_6 n_7} \left( q_n (q)_{n_2} (q)_{n_3} (q)_{n_4} (q)_{n_5} (q)_{n_6} (q)_{n_7} \right)
\]
\[
= \frac{1}{(1 - q)(q)_{\infty}^4} \left( \sum_{m \geq 1} (-3m + 1)(-1)^m q^{\frac{3m^2 + m}{2}} + \sum_{m \leq -1} (3m + 2)(-1)^m q^{\frac{3m^2 + m}{2}} \right)
\]
The infinite series on the right-hand side is a quantum modular form. We can also write the function in the parentheses as
\[
-1 - \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left( \sum_{n \geq 0} x^{3n-1} q^{\frac{3n(3n+1)}{2}(1 - x^2 q^{2n+1})} \right)_{x=1} := \psi(x, q)
\]

Then we have one of Ramanujan’s famous identities
\[
\psi(x, q) = \sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{(-1)^n x^{2n-1} q^{\frac{n(n+1)}{2}}}{(-x q)_n}
\]

7.5. $k = 8$. Lastly we offer the following conjectural identity,
\[
\sum_{n_1, n_2, n_3, n_4, n_5, n_6, n_7, n_8 \geq 0} q^{n_1 + n_2 + n_3 + n_4 + n_5 + n_6 + n_7 + n_8 + n_1 n_2 + n_2 n_3 + n_3 n_4 + n_4 n_5 + n_5 n_6 + n_6 n_7 + n_7 n_8} \left( q_n (q)_{n_2} (q)_{n_3} (q)_{n_4} (q)_{n_5} (q)_{n_6} (q)_{n_7} (q)_{n_8} \right)
\]
\[
= \frac{q^{-2}}{(q)_{\infty}^3} \left( \frac{1}{(q)_{\infty}} - 1 \right) \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{n q^n}{1 - q^n}
\]
The we leave it as an open question to determine the behavior for general $k$. 
8. Principal subspaces and infinite jet schemes

In this part we require some familiarity with vertex algebras (especially lattice vertex algebras) and principal subspaces as developed in [15, 16, 12, 23].

We first form a lattice vertex algebra $V_L$ on the integral lattice $L = \mathbb{Z}\beta_1 + \cdots + \mathbb{Z}\beta_k$, such that $(\beta_i, \beta_{i+1}) = 1$, for $i = 1, ..., k - 1$, and zero otherwise. This is a non-degenerate even lattice for $k$ even. For $k$ odd it is degenerate with 1-dimensional radical subspace. For simplicity of exposition we shall ignore this degeneracy and consider only $k$ even here. We consider the principal subspace $W_L = \langle e^{\beta_1}, ..., e^{\beta_k} \rangle \subset V_L$ generated by $e^{\beta_i}$. Using tools of vertex algebras one can show that $W_L$ admits a nice monomial basis. For instance, for $k = 2$, we get

$$v = \beta_2(-j_1^{(2)}) \cdots \beta_2(-j_n^{(2)})\beta_1(-j_1^{(1)}) \cdots \beta_1(-j_l^{(1)}),$$

where $j_k^{(i)} \geq 1$ and $j_i^{(2)} > n_1$. Defining $\deg(v) := \sum_{i=1}^{n_1} j_i^{(1)} + \sum_{i=1}^{n_2} j_i^{(2)}$, the character of $W_L$ can be computed directly from this basis as

$$\sum_{n_1, n_2 \geq 0} q^{j_1^{(1)}n_1} q^{j_2^{(2)}n_2} (q)^{n_1} (q)^{n_2},$$

which is precisely $F(1, 1)$. More generally, results from [23, 25] give:

**Proposition 8.1.** We have $F(1, ..., 1) = \text{ch}[W_L](q).$

This formula can be interpreted in the language of infinite jet schemes. Consider an affine scheme $X$ and the $m$-th jet scheme $J_mX$ of $X$. This system has a projective limit in the category of schemes $J_\infty X := \lim_{\leftarrow} J_nX$ called the arc space of the infinite jet scheme of $X$. Consider now (here $k \geq 2$)

$$R := \mathbb{C}[x_1, ..., x_k]/(x_1x_2, ..., x_{k-1}x_k)$$

and let $X = \text{Spec } R$. Then the coordinate ring $J_\infty R = \mathbb{C}[J_\infty X]$ has a commutative vertex algebra structure (see [8, 24] for instance). For general vertex algebras we have a surjective morphism from $\mathbb{C}[J_\infty X]$ to $\text{gr}(V)$ but for many examples of “nilpotent” vertex algebras, as well as some rational vertex algebras, this map is an isomorphism [20] (see also [24, 9, 14]). For instance, using the presentation of $W_L$ and the definition of $J_\infty R$ it is easy to see using presentation results from [23, 25, 20] that

$$W_L \cong J_\infty R$$

as graded commutative vertex algebras. Therefore the Hilbert series of the arc space of $X$ satisfies

$$HS_q(J_\infty X) = \text{ch}[W_L](q).$$

Our results in Section 7 provide a completely new combinatorial aspect of these infinite jet schemes.
9. Final comments

In this section we present a few problems which need to be further addressed. First, there is a need for better understanding of the identities in Section 7. We still do not understand the nature of the \( q \)-series appearing on the “product” side. Although for \( k = 3 \) and \( k = 8 \) they are essentially modular, for \( k = 5 \) and \( k = 7 \) they behave as quantum modular forms, and for \( k = 2, 4, \) and \( 6 \) they are neither modular nor quantum (or false). Further connections with the sum of tails remains unclear to us.

We would also like to gain a better understanding of the combinatorics behind Conjecture 5.2 as there is already rich combinatorics governing monomial bases of basic \( C_n^{(1)} \)-modules [26].

In another direction, we can slightly modify the quadratic form in \( F(r_1, \ldots, r_k) \) by adding the term \( n_k n_1 \) so that the summation is over the “circle”. This way we can produce additional interesting identities. For instance, for \( r_1 = \cdots = r_k = 1 \) and \( k = 3 \), we get an identity for a Ramanujan’s fifth order mock theta function

\[
\sum_{n_1, n_2, n_3, n_4, n_5 \geq 0} q^{n_1+n_2+n_3+n_4+n_5} (q)_{n_1}(q)_{n_2}(q)_{n_3}(q)_{n_4}(q)_{n_5} = \frac{1}{(q)^{2\infty}} \sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{q^n}{(q^{n+1})_{n+1}}.
\]

This follows directly from Euler’s identity and \( \sum_{m \geq 0} q^{m(n+1)} \frac{(q)_{n+m}}{(q)_m} = \frac{(q)_n}{(q^{n+1})_{n+1}} \) [5], Theorem 3.3. For \( k = 5 \), we conjecture an elegant (quasi)-modular identity analogous to the \( k = 8 \) case in Section 7,

\[
\sum_{n_1, n_2, n_3, n_4, n_5 \geq 0} q^{n_1+n_2+n_3+n_4+n_5} (q)_{n_1}(q)_{n_2}(q)_{n_3}(q)_{n_4}(q)_{n_5} = \frac{q^{-1}}{(q)^{2\infty}} \sum_{n \geq 1} n q^n.
\]

For \( r_1 = \cdots = r_k = 2 \) and \( k \geq 3 \), we expect

\[
\sum_{n \geq 0} (-1)^{nk} q^{\frac{k}{2} n(n+1)} = \sum_{n_1, n_2, \ldots, n_k \geq 0} q^{\sum_{i=1}^{k-1} n_i n_{i+1} + n_k n_1 + \sum_{i=1}^{k} n_i}
\]

again alternating between false identities for \( k \) odd, and modular identities for \( k \) even (observe, \( \sum_{n \geq 0} q^{\frac{k}{2} n(n+1)} = \frac{1}{\phi(2^n)} \sum_{n \geq 0} q^{\frac{k}{2} n(n+1)} \)). Presumably, this can be proven by slight adjustments along the lines of [19], Theorem 5.5.
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