

## Notation

Let  $P_t^\tau$  denote the price at time  $t$  of a risk-free, pure-discount bond worth one dollar at its maturity in  $\tau$  years, at time  $t + \tau$ .

Thus  $P_t^0 = 1$ .

Let  $R_t^\tau$  denote the yield to maturity on this bond. By definition,

$$P_t^\tau = e^{-\tau R_t^\tau}.$$

Let us assume that the prices and the interest rates follow a stationary stochastic process.

## Expectations Theory

The expectations theory says that the long-term interest rate is the average of current and expected future short-term rates. For example, the two-year interest rate is the average of the current one-year rate and the one-year rate expected for next year,

$$R_t^2 = \frac{1}{2} [R_t^1 + E_t (R_{t+1}^1)] . \quad (1)$$

## Variance Bounds

Shiller [1] shows how the expectations theory implies that the variability of the short-term interest rate sets an upper bound on the variability of the long-term interest rate, and he studies whether United States data satisfy these variance bounds.

We work out the bounds in the context of the one-year and two-year pure-discount bonds. Shiller's analysis is analogous but more complex (coupon-bearing, long-term bonds).

We assume that expectations are rational.

## Forecast Error

Define the forecast error

$$e_t := R_t^1 - E_{t-1} (R_t^1) .$$

Since the forecast error is uncorrelated with the forecast,

$$\text{Var} (R_t^1) = \text{Var} [E_{t-1} (R_t^1)] + \text{Var} (e_t) .$$

Hence

$$\text{Var} (e_t) \leq \text{Var} (R_t^1) . \quad (2)$$

Also, since  $R_t^1$  is a possible forecast of  $R_{t+1}^1$ , with forecast error  $\text{Var} (\Delta R_t^1)$ ,

$$\text{Var} (e_t) \leq \text{Var} (\Delta R_t^1) . \quad (3)$$

## ***Ex Post* Rational Long-Term Interest Rate**

The *ex post* rational long-term interest rate is

$$R_t^{2*} := \frac{1}{2} (R_t^1 + R_{t+1}^1). \quad (4)$$

Under perfect foresight, the long-term interest rate would equal this value.

With uncertainty, however,

$$R_t^2 = E_t (R_t^{2*}).$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned} R_t^{2*} &= \frac{1}{2} (R_t^1 + R_{t+1}^1) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} [R_t^1 + \mathbf{E}_t (R_{t+1}^1)] + \frac{1}{2} [R_{t+1}^1 - \mathbf{E}_t (R_{t+1}^1)] \\ &= R_t^2 + e_{t+1}, \end{aligned}$$

by (1).

## Variance Bound

A variance bound is

$$\text{Var} (R_t^{2*}) \geq \text{Var} (R_t^2) .$$

This inequality is comparable to [1, p. 1202], which is violated by the data: the variance of the *ex post* rational interest rate is low, and the variance of the long-term interest rate is higher.

## Holding-Period Return

Define the one-period holding-period return on a two-year bond,

$$H_{t+1}^2 := \ln P_{t+1}^1 - \ln P_t^2.$$



Hence

$$\begin{aligned} H_{t+1}^2 &= -R_{t+1}^1 + 2R_t^2 \\ &= -R_{t+1}^1 + [R_t^1 + E_t(R_{t+1}^1)] \text{ by (1)} \\ &= R_t^1 - e_{t+1}. \end{aligned}$$

Of course this relationship expresses the basis of the expectations theory: all bonds have the same expected holding-period return.

The expected value  $E_t (H_{t+1}^2) = R_t^1$ , and the error is  $-e_{t+1}$ , so

$$\text{Var} (H_{t+1}^2) = \text{Var} (R_t^1) + \text{Var} (e_{t+1}),$$

since the error is uncorrelated with the forecast. Therefore

$$\text{Var} (R_t^1) \leq \text{Var} (H_t^2) \leq 2\text{Var} (R_t^1),$$

by (2). The right inequality is comparable to [1, I.1, p. 1203], which is violated by the data: the holding-period return on the long-term bond fluctuates too much relative to the short-term interest rate.

## Excess Holding-Period Return

Since the excess holding-period return on the two-year bond is

$$H_{t+1}^2 - R_t^1 = -e_{t+1}.$$

therefore

$$\text{Var} (H_{t+1}^2 - R_t^1) \leq \text{Var} (\Delta R_t^1),$$

by (3). This inequality corresponds to [1, I.2, p. 1204], which is satisfied by the data.

## Averaging

That the *ex post* rational interest rate is the average of the current and future short-term rates obtains another inequality:

$$\begin{aligned}\text{Var} (R_t^{2*}) &= \text{Var} \left[ \frac{1}{2} (R_t^1 + R_{t+1}^1) \right] \\ &= \frac{1 + \rho}{2} \text{Var} (R_t^1) \\ &\leq \text{Var} (R_t^1) .\end{aligned}$$

Here  $\rho$  is the first-order autocorrelation of  $R_t^1$ .

This inequality corresponds to [1, p. 1202]. Unlike the inequalities above, this inequality depends only on stationarity, but not on either the expectations theory or rational expectations. It is supported by the data.

## References

- [1] Robert J. Shiller. The volatility of long-term interest rates and expectations models of the term structure. *Journal of Political Economy*, 87(6):1190–1219, December 1979.  
HB1J7.