

Budget Constraint

Suppose that all consumption is financed out of wealth; there is no labor income or other income. The budget constraint is

$$\begin{aligned}w_{t+dt} &= (w_t - c_t dt) (1 + da_t) \\ &= w_t (1 + da_t) - c_t dt.\end{aligned}$$

Equivalently,

$$dw_t = w_{t+dt} - w_t = w_t da_t - c_t dt. \quad (1)$$

Asset Return

Assume that the probability distribution of the return da_t is independent of time,

$$da_t = m dt + s dz_t. \quad (2)$$

There is no portfolio choice; the consumer invests wealth with this return.

Constant Relative Risk Aversion

The consumer maximizes expected utility

$$\mathbf{E}_t \left[\int_t^\infty v(c_\tau) e^{-\rho(\tau-t)} d\tau \right] = \mathbf{E}_t \left[\int_t^\infty \frac{c^{1-\alpha}}{1-\alpha} e^{-\rho(\tau-t)} d\tau \right].$$

There is constant relative risk aversion, $\alpha > 0, \alpha \neq 1$.

Consumption Decision

By the proportionality property of constant relative risk aversion, it is intuitive that the optimum consumption decision is to consume a fixed fraction of wealth,

$$c_t = kw_t. \tag{3}$$

Here k is constant, and we determine the optimum value. High k means low saving.

Budget Constraint

Substitute the feedback rule (3) into the budget constraint (1):

$$d(c_t/k) = (c_t/k) da_t - c_t dt,$$

SO

$$\frac{dc_t}{c_t} = da_t - k dt. \quad (4)$$

From our earlier work, a necessary condition for optimum consumption is

$$0 = \mathbf{E}_t (da_t - \rho dt) + \mathbf{E}_t \left[-\alpha \left(\frac{dc_t}{c_t} \right) (1 + da_t) \right] \\ + \mathbf{E}_t \left[\frac{1}{2} \alpha (\alpha + 1) \left(\frac{dc_t}{c_t} \right)^2 \right].$$

Substituting (4) gives

$$\begin{aligned}
 0 &= \mathbf{E}_t (da_t - \rho dt) + \mathbf{E}_t [-\alpha (da_t - k dt) (1 + da_t)] \\
 &\quad + \mathbf{E}_t \left[\frac{1}{2} \alpha (\alpha + 1) (da_t - k dt)^2 \right] \text{ by (4)} \\
 &= \mathbf{E}_t (da_t) - \rho dt + \mathbf{E}_t [-\alpha da_t (1 + da_t)] + \alpha k dt \\
 &\quad + \frac{1}{2} \alpha (\alpha + 1) (da_t)^2 \\
 &= (1 - \alpha) m dt + (\alpha k - \rho) dt + \left[-\alpha + \frac{1}{2} \alpha (\alpha + 1) \right] s^2 dt \text{ by (2)}
 \end{aligned}$$

Cancelling gives dt and solving for k gives

$$k = \frac{1}{\alpha} \left[\rho + (\alpha - 1)m - \frac{1}{2} \alpha (\alpha - 1) s^2 \right]. \quad (5)$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{dc_t}{c_t} &= da_t - k dt \\ &= (m dt + s dz_t) - \left\{ \frac{1}{\alpha} \left[\rho + (\alpha - 1)m - \frac{1}{2} \alpha (\alpha - 1) s^2 \right] \right\} dt \\ &= \frac{1}{\alpha} \left[(m - \rho) + \frac{1}{2} \alpha (\alpha - 1) s^2 \right] dt + s dz_t. \end{aligned}$$

Certainty

For $s = 0$, we have the result with certainty,

$$k = \frac{1}{\alpha} [\rho + (\alpha - 1) m]$$

$$\frac{dc_t}{c_t} = \frac{1}{\alpha} (m - \rho) dt.$$

Substitution and Wealth Effects

Above the expression

$$\alpha - 1$$

reflects the opposition of substitution and wealth effects. A small value α means high intertemporal substitution. Thus $\alpha - 1 < 0$ means that the substitution effect dominates.

For example, in (5), if the substitution effect dominates, then higher mean return m raises saving and greater uncertainty s reduces saving (but increases the mean growth rate of consumption).

Logarithmic Utility

These formulas also apply for logarithmic utility, $\alpha = 1$,

$$v(c_t) = \ln c_t.$$

The substitution and wealth effects cancel, and

$$k = \rho$$

$$c_t = \rho w_t$$

$$\frac{dc_t}{c_t} = (m - \rho) dt + s dz_t.$$