4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MK. CARILLE. Mank you very me

1748

(The witness was excused.)

MR. LIMAN: Capt. Malovich.

A N T H O N Y M A L O V I C H, called as a witness, being first duly sworn by Mr. Carter, was examined and testified as follows:

EXAMINATION BY MR. LIMAN:

- Q Captain, would you state your full name, for the record.
- A My full name is Anthony Thomas Malovich. I am a captain in the New York State Police.
- Q How long have you been a member of the New York State Police?
 - A Fifteen years on July the 18th of this year.
 - Q How long have you been a captain?
 - A Since January 15th of 1970.
 - Q What troop are you assigned to?
 - A Troop A at Batavia.
- Q Were you also in the armed services before you became a trooper?
- A Yes, sir. I served three years in the United States Marine Corps.
 - Q Did you see combat?
 - A In the Korean Conflict, I served 14 months

in Korea. 1749

Q' How many captains are there attached to the 8th Battallion, A-Troop?

Α We have captains, four. One is our BCI captain, which is your Bureau of Criminal Investiga-Three are uniformed captains. We act as assistants to the troop commander.

Q Did you have any special duties?

Α My duties at--just at the time of the Attica incident? Yes, I was acting as the assistant to the troop commander at that point, which would actually be the executive officer.

Did you report to Attica on September 9th after the trouble began there?

Α Yes, sir, I did.

Did you participate in the efforts to retake part of the institution on Thursday?

No, not on Thursday. I did not participate in that at all.

Q What was your assignment on Monday, September 13th?

On Monday, the 13th of September, I was assigned Α to command the detail which would be coming into the institution from the C-cell block, which was designated as, for the purposes of this operation, the C-1 detail.

	Q That was the detail that was going 1750
2	to exit from the second floor of C-block and go out
3	onto the C-catwalk?
4	A Yes, sir.
5	Q When were you first told that you were going
6	to have this assignment?
7	A I was first made aware of this assignment
8	on Friday. The second day at the institution.
9	Q At that time how many men were assigned to
10	you for this detail?
11	A We had a detail of 30 people assigned to
12	myself.
13	Q How were those men armed on Friday?
14	A On Friday? On Friday we hadwell, the
15	individual service sidearms and we did have one
16	so-called alert on Friday. We had six shotguns with
17	the detail at that time.
18	Q So you had only six shotguns for a 30-man
19	detail?
20	A On Friday, yes.
21	Q Did those armaments increase by Monday?
22	A Monday we had 14 shotguns, plus the service
23	sidearms.
24	Q Did you decide which of the members of your
25	detail were given the shotguns?

2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24

A We had—at the time I briefed my 1751 detail, prior to going in, we had set up, the way I set it up so that one man would be covering another and I tried to distribute the shotguns so that we did not have them all grouped in one area.

- Q Did you yourself carry a shotgun?
- A Yes, sir, I did have one.
- Q How had conditions changed for your mission between Friday, when you were first given it, and Monday?

A Well, Monday the picture had changed insofar as what we were going up against and Friday the barricades out on the catwald were very flimsy. They had not had any great amount of material brought in to the barricades themselves.

By Monday the barricades had been built up.

They had been fortified so to speak and we now observed inmates armed with weapons, clubs, baseball bats.

You could observe what appeared from that distance to be knives in their belts and what have you. We did see several walking about and I saw several, at various times there would usually be one man in the Times Square area with the tear gas gun.

Q Now, what was exactly the assignment of your detail?

24

25

Well, we were to move out from the 1752 C-cell block from the second floor exit, move out on to the top of the, what has been called the tunnel. From there we were to move to the barricade, clear the barricade which would be done by our barricade removal detail.

They were to remove the barricade itself from the top of the ramp. We would continue on to the Times Square area. Upon reaching Times Square, our assignment was to move to the left toward the B-cell block.

As we moved along I had certain individuals designated to be positioned along the railing on the top of the catwalk. We would drop these people off and move up to the B-cell block itself at which point another barricade was located.

Now, this barricade was in closer proximity to the B-cell block than the one on the C-cell block side was. That was roughly 150 feet out.

The one on B was, I would say, approximately 30 feet out from the cell block.

Did you understand that a rescue team was going to be coming in from A-block and going into Dyard?

Yes, sir.

of firepower, if necessary, and safeguarding 1754 lives and so forth.

Q What did he say on the subject of use of firepower?

A Well, he used the terms that only force used would be that which would be necessary to complete the mission. There would be no indiscriminate shooting.

He used the phrase, I believe he said "shooting fish in a barrel," or he didn't want a shooting gallery. Something to that effect.

Q Did he also warn against hand-to-hand combat as he testified to today?

A Yes, he did. He stated he did not want our men, our troopers to become involved in any hand-to-hand combat, as such.

Q Did you brief your detail before they went out?

A Yes, sir, I did.

I arrived at my jumping-off point, so to speak, at roughly, shortly after 8:00 a.m. At that time I briefed my detail.

Q Had you been on alert on Sunday also?

A We had also, in addition to the alert I mentioned on Friday, we had been on an alert on Sunday afternoon.

Q Actually how many hours sleep did 1755 you get Sunday night?

A Sunday night? Oh, probably three hours.

Q When you briefed your men on Monday morning, what did you say to them?

A I briefed them, as I mentioned, as to what our mission was, what we were expected to accomplish. I covered the possibility of meeting resistance at the barricade. I impressed on them that if any resistance were met at the barricades, that we would not do any indiscriminate shooting.

I went into the matter generally covering what our regulations say we will and will not do in regard to situations of this sort.

Q What did you say?

A I said, "Bear in mind that if you are required to use a firearm, you must be covered as the law provides" and at that point I went into some length on the fact that I and several troopers and another officer would be up at the forward part of the group and I did not want any shooting from the rear ranks because there was a strong possibility if someone from the rear did shoot, they would strike one of us, so I said, "Any firepower utilized will only come from the first five men in the ranks, myself and the lieutenant

and three other troopers.

Q Did you also give them the warning about not engaging in hand-to-hand combat?

A I told them that we were not going to search any inmates, we were not going to become involved in any struggles with inmates. I didn't use the term hand-to-hand combat.

Q As a practical matter, what is the alternative if you are not going to engage in struggles or in hand-to-hand combat with an inmate, how do you resist an inmate who doesn't have friendly intentions to you but is armed with a club or a knife?

A This, I think, would have to depend on the matter at hand at that certain time; depending on how he was armed, what position he was coming at you.

There were some inmates who surrendered, there were some who ran, there were some who were going to resist.

In each individual instance that I saw, it was different from the other thatn I had noticed.

Q Was there any policy that firing would only be at your command or the command of an officer, a commissioned officer?

A Yes. I went so far as to advise that the only firing would be done at my command. However,

this would not be a steadfast rule because 1757 each individual member might be faced with a situation that I would not be aware of.

Q In fact, in your detail there was firing that was not at your command; am I correct?

A Yes, sir.

Q So that it really would be up to the individual trooper as to how he would interpret what kind of force he felt was coming toward him and how he should react to it?

A Right. I feel it would be the same as any situation involving a police action whether it be nothing more than a normal patrol.

A situation arises, the individual trooper is trained to make decisions, to think for himself and, therefore, he knows the repercussions of making a wrong move and this is something that he will have to determine at his own level at that time.

Q With all of this training, why did you feel it was necessary to instruct men who were behind you not to fire when you were in front of them?

A Well, I feel that you can be well trained and still further instructions would be necessary. I would call it nothing more than good insurance to possibly forestall something happening.

Q Where were you just before the gas 1758 dropped?

A I was right inside the gateway leading out onto the ramp in the C-cell block, on the second floor.

Q What did you see?

A Just before the gas dropped?

Well, originally as I--after I briefed my detail I was at the gate looking out and I saw numerous inmates at the barricades, back at Times Square and also at the barricades at B-block and toward the A-block side.

I know there was a barricade on the D-block side. I could not see that from my position. I could see inmates and just a lot of walking around, scurring about, what have you.

What they were doing behind the barricade on my side I could not observe. However, shortly thereafter, coming up through the Times Square area, up from the tunnel, I observed activity, inmates were coming out and bringing what appeared to be hostages with them.

Individuals blindfolded, hands bound and these people were positioned outside the Times Square area and to the rear of the two barricades on the A and the C.block sides.

1 Q Were you also watching the barricade? 2 Α Yes. 3 Q Was there any activity there? 4 Α Well, the actual activity at the barricades, 5 at that point you really could not observe what was 6 going on behind it. It was activity. 7 I would observe, for example, on what I 8 referred to as my barricade that was on the side where 9 my detail went in, there were roughly--at that time I 10 believe seven to eight inmates standing behind it 11 and then there were three or four who appeared to 12 lie down, get up and another one who lie down or kneel 13 down behind him. What they were doing, I do not know. 14 Q Were you given a command to move out? 15 Α Yes. The command came over the radio to 16 move out. 17 Did you hear the command given to the .270 18 men on the roof? 19 Α No, I could not hear that. 20 Did you have any understanding as to what 21 their instructions were as to when to fire? 22 Α Well, as I observed, I'll have to go back a 23 little here. 24 When the inmates brought the hostages out, 25

I had, upon noting this I had gone back to a telephone

9

7

13

14

15 16

17

18

19

20

21 22

23

24

25

inside the cell block and called into the 1760 command post, reporting this activity.

At that time I talked to Col. Miller and I advised him that we had observed hostages being brought out, what appeared to be hostages with weapons held at their throats and I asked him for further instructions.

I was instructed to insure that no action was taken against any inmate holding a hostage unless the inmate made a hostile move toward the hostage.

Q Did you pass that instruction on to the men on the roof?

Α Yes, sir.

Was there any instruction of what a hostile act would be?

Well, there was probably a very--a little discussion between the officer and the men with the .270 rifles and what that was I wouldn't know.

But you passed on the command that they should not fire unless there was a hostile act against the hostages?

Yes, sir. This is to the detail on top of Α the C-cell block on my side.

Did you see the gas drop? Q

Α Yes.

_ .

- ·

Q What did you see happen when the 1761 gas dropped?

A The helicopter approached from over the Ablock side and upon crossing near the Times Square area, the gas began to drop into the Dablock area, which would be the compound-well, surrounded by the, on two sides by the Dand Bablocks and then by the tunnels on the other.

When the gas dropped into that area.—I should say the major portion of it dropped into that area, some of it spread out over the Times Square area and generally it filled into the—right around the Times Square area quite heavily and then did drift over into the barricade areas also.

- Q Did it obscure your vision of what was going on at the Times Square area for a time?
 - A For a brief moment, yes.
 - Q When the drop occurred, did you hear shooting?
- A The drop occurred and simultaneously with the drop is the way I recall it. The shooting and the drop are, as I said, almost simultaneous.

However, I did not for a brief moment I noted a hostage being held by an inmate on the tunnel leading toward A-block.

And at this point as the helicopter came

23

25

1762 over, the gas was dropping, I saw the inmate, he was holding the hostage in this manner, with his hand on his chin. He sort of raised the hostage up off a stool he was sitting on and he had a weapon in his hand in this manner and the gas dropped and at that moment the rifle fire commenced and I did not observe any more activity--

Could you see more because of the gas drop other than what you described?

No. I was not -- at that point my vision was not obscured by the gas drop. I had turned my eyes back toward what my mission was and we began to move out at that point.

- You did not see what precipitated the firing?
- No, I could not see.
- You saw gas dropping and then almost simul-Q taneously firing began?

Right. Again, I was intent on what my mission was. I was looking toward the barricade, we began to move out and there was firing. I would not say how much or from where it was coming. I heard it.

That was about all I can recollect insofar as the gun fire or the gas drop. At this point I'm now looking towards the barricade.

The firing that you heard, was it rifle firing Q

yes.

as opposed to shotgun firing?

I would say it sounded like rifle fire initially. I would say it sounded like rifle fire,

1763

- Were you in the first phalanx of your men moving out?
 - Yes, I was the first man out the door.
 - You approached that barricade? Q
 - Yes, sir.
- You had seen inmates behind that barricade earlier?
 - Right. Α
 - What happened as you approached the barricade?
- Α As we approached the barricade, I was facing Times Square, I was to the left of the tunnel along the railing and my lieutenant was on the right-hand side toward A-block. We had three other troopers who were between us.

We started moving toward the barricade. As we stepped out of the doorway there were several objects thrown at us. I recall briefly seeing portions of bricks, an object with a handle on it, whatever it may have been, I do not know at this time.

There were tear gas grenades thrown at us from behind the barricade and something did strike my

25

helmet. My helmet was knocked off. I 1764 continued on with my men to the barricade.

At this point we approached the barricade probably from about, I would say approximately ten to 15 feet away and I noticed two inmates rise up from behind it and began to come up onto the top of the barricaded cell.

One was armed with some type of handle, it may have been a shovel handle with a blade-type attachment on the end. The other one had a, which later we found to be a home-made type sword and they were coming towards us over the barricade. The one individual--

- Q Were they coming over the barricade or just standing behind it?
- No, sir. One came up onto it and the one began to clammer over it toward my side of the railing.
 - You were on the side --Q
 - Towards B-block.
 - 0 Towards B-block.

The fellow who was coming over towards me was Α shouting something and I don't recall the exact words at the time. It was something about kill and so forth.

As he came over the barricade, the trooper

23 24

25

on my right was now approximately right up 1765 to him and he was shot by this member.

Q The trooper discharged the shotgun at him?

Α Yes, sir.

He fell. At this same time one of the other members, who, I don't know, he fired a shot and the other inmate fell.

Q You described one of them as having a swordtype of weapon in his hand.

What did the other have?

The one had, as I mentioned, I believe I said the one had a spear-type weapon. It was fashioned from what appeared to be a shovel handle and it had a blade on the end, taped to the end.

And the second inmate had a blade approximately two and a half to three feet in length. a-wider at the point than at the hilt. And we found that later it had been fashioned apparently somewhere in the metal shop areas.

- So both of these inmates were shot?
- Α Yes, sir.

We continued through the barricade and at this point the barricade removal team is attempting to remove the materials which consisted of tables, chairs, timbers, what have you.

23 24 25

I had --- I was trying to get 1766 through the barricade. Some items had been removed. I stepped up onto what I thought was a metal desk. My foot broke through. It turned out to be a pane of glass which had been painted gray from, apparently, one of the shop areas.

I had stepped through this. I was momentarily stopped by this. I had to remove my leg from in the midst of this pane of glass.

By now several of my men are through the barricade and on the other side of it. As I came through the barricade at this point, I noted three inmates lying behind the barricade. Three individuals.

I saw inmates. I assumed them to be inmates at this point. There were two others --- well, I should say I could see two pair of feet sticking out from under the edge of the barricade under a blanket.

These two, at this point I did not know if they were dead, hiding or whatever. I noticed other inmates running away from the barricade toward the Times Square area. Several were jumping from the top of the ramp down into the yards on either side of the tunnel.

- Was shooting continuing during this period? Q
- There was not the initial burst of gunfire Α

Now--and, again, I couldn't tell you how many there were. A shot here and there that I could hear. Again, I am intent on what I'm doing and I really couldn't say how much gunfire I did hear or where it came from.

At this moment I turned my attention back to the two pair of feet. I had two troppers come up, uncover these individuals. They had their hands in the back of their head and they were pleading surrender.

They were brought to their feet. I had two men take them down into the yard area. Had them remove them from the top of the barricade.

We continued on to the Times Square area and here there were several persons lying about. One man appeared to be a hostage. He was bound and he was bleeding. There was some blood on him. He appeared to be injured.

I don't know whether he was later removed and how he was or whatever. I know there was two troopers stopped to help him and I continued on toward the B-block side of the compound.

- Q Was there any shooting in the Times Square area when you were there?
 - A There was a, as I approached I noticed what

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24

I believed to be members from one of the 1768 other details engaged in some activity at the Times Square area.

Q By "activity," do you mean shooting guns?

A Well, initially I saw the man with a club or ball bat, whatever it was, swinging it and I saw a trooper parry the blow and grab the bat and take it from the individual.

Now, there was some shooting in that area. Who or at what, I don't know. I only recall hearing it and seeing a flurry of activity in that area.

Q You rounded the corner and went to B?

A Continued on toward the B-cell block area, yes.

As we moved along, I was positioning my men along the rail as had been predetermined. I approached the barricade at the B-block and there were probably a dozen or so inmates hiding under it, lying down along side the barricade. Obviously, they wanted to surrender.

They were told to move into the yard by members of the detail. They went down under the railing and dropped into the yard below.

Q Did you hear any shooting when you were on B-catwald?

A Yes. Again, I heard shooting, but where it

_	was coming from or who was doing it or at what 1769
2	the individual was shooting at, I had no idea.
3	Q Did one member of your detail tell you
4	later that he had occasion to shoot?
5	A Yes.
6	
7	Q Where did he tell you he was shooting and
8	at what?
9	A My lieutenant told me that he had fired two
10	shots to assist the rescue detail down in the yard,
	that a member of the State Police had been down on
11	the ground, he was being attacked and he fired two
12	shots to assist him.
13	Q What kind of ammunition did this man have,
14	what did he shoot, a shotgun?
15	A He had a shotgun. He said he fired two shots
16	from his shotgun.
17	
18	Q Were you familiar with what the spread was
19	of Double-O shot?
20	A I would say I am thoroughly familiar with
21	it. I am not a ballistics expert.
	Q Was training given in what the spread would
22	be using Double-O buck?
23	A In our academy I was assigned to the train-
24	ing section at one time. We demonstrated on the range
25	to our recruits, to our new men coming on just how
ì	

each type round will react. In other words, 1770 how the shot will spread, what penetration power a .270 will have and so forth.

Q You were aware of the case of Double-O shot that each pelt had lethal capability, I take it?

A I would say fairly aware of it, yes.

Q And also that the further the distance, the more the spread?

A Yes, sir.

Q Was there any special instruction given to your men about using their shotguns to shoot at objects at any great distance given the fact that even if your aim was good you were bound, because of the spread, to hit a unintended object?

A Well, the only thing along those lines, at the briefing I gave my detail was the fact that you are all aware--I believe the words I used were, "You are all aware of what a firearm will do and use good judgment and caution." I believe words to that effect. I don't recall exactly what I did say.

Q Was there--the member of your detail who fired in the yard told you that he fired to protect the member of the rescue mission who was down.

Was there any special instruction given to the men about protecting their own men, members of

the State Police?

Α No instructions, as such, except I believe every detail was instructed to cover the man next to you and it was set up so that each man would be covered by another.

Insofar as the rescue detail, they had been told to assist where necessary and, again, I don't know where this officer was at the time he fired and whether he was in close proximity to this trooper on the ground or whether he was some distance away. I could not say at that time. I did not ask him his position.

(Continued on page 1772.)

Major Monahan mentioned this 1772
morning that he thought that state troopers would be
less affected by the death of Officer Quinn because
he was, I think, to use his words, he was not one of
their own. He was not a member of the state police
I wonder, putting the converse, whether in the training and
just in general practice and living together as a State
Police trooper, there is an attitude that develops that
if a member of the State Police Force is down in the
yard, that you have to use whatever fire power is necessary
to protect him, even if there is going to be some
spread?

A I don't know. I would have to say that this, again, would be up to the individual member, as to what action he would take. It's something that he would have to determine.

He knows how he is covered by regulations and by law and it's a matter that the man himself would have to determine.

Q You mentioned covered by law. Do you feel that your men really felt that they would be held accountable by law given the fact that there is just no way of tracing pelts to any particular shotgun?

A I couldn't speak for each individual. I would be surmising if I said each man felt this. I know--

23

24

25

Isn't is a matter really of self-discipline and not a fear of the outside law that keeps restraints upon people?

I would think so. I would probably say there are many factors which come into bearing which create this feeling of discipline. The law being one. Many outside factors. Training. Maturity through being on the job, through being involved in different experiences. These all play a great part in it.

You have no say in what kind of weaponry your Q men would have. In fact whether it will be a 12-gauge shotgun or Double-O shot. That was one of the--

Yes, sir, this was one of our issue weapons Α and it's not a matter of choice. It's what we have been It's what we have. It's what we use. issued.

0 And given the circumstances here, do you think that perhaps other ammunition and equipment could have been issed to the men?

This I don't know. I am really not qualified A Again, I would be surmising if I went into detail on anything along those lines.

But you are a captain in the police force and 0 I know that you hesitate to venture opinions but just this one time could se, do you think that you could give us a professional opinion as to whether you think that

Double-O Buckshot is the appropriate ammunition in a situation like this?

I will answer it in this manner. As I believe you just mentioned, we have issued weapons. We have issued ammunition. This is what is commonly known as The Double-O Buck is also a police weapon, the shotgun. part of the police ordinance. I have heard talkd in various areas, someone said, "Well why didn't the troopers use tranquilizer guns, why didn't they use sleeping gas, sleeping powder in the water system, what have you."

Well, until such time as these items are perfected for police use, we will be forced to use what we do have.

But there are, apart form Buck Rogers types of Q weapons which may be for the future, there are other forms of ammunition available for shotguns and there are also rifles that are available and people can also use side arms?

Α Right.

So that just in terms of what is available, maybe not to the State Police but what's on the market--

It's--I know what you are looking for here but it's difficult to answer because any other type weapon, what do you have? You have in addition to say a .270

12

11

13 14

15

16

17 18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1775 rifle, you have what is known as a 30.06 caliber. You have a .300 caliber. You have 30.30 s and anyone of which at close quarters will do the same job.

But his puts into motion nine lethal pellets which Q as they progress, spread.

Α Right.

So it's really instead of one lethal bullet Q which may penetrate one person and hit another, you have now nine lethal projectiles and that's what I was getting at.

I see. Α

Whether this is a matter which was--it wasn't discussed with you, this is what you were given, I take it?

Right. Α

That's what Major Monahan said, even at his level of command, this is what he was given and this is what he had to use. But going beyond it, because this Commission is looking into the future, not just into the past, is this the type of ammunition which the State Police ought to be equipped with when they are involved in a crowd situation such as this with distances between where they are going to be on the catwalk and where the objects of their protection are supposed to be?

The shotgun is basically a close range weapon. It's not intended for any great range. You have various types of shotgun loads. You have commonly known as birdshot.

The sizes run I believe anywhere from Double-O

Well, possibly I can answer that in this manner

Buck up to number two, four, five, six, seven and a half, eight, nine. I believe there is some that go as high as 12.

These birdshots, starting we will say at

These birdshots, starting we will say at number two shot up to number 12 run anywhere in one load from 300 to 1,200 pellets. Well, I would feel at close quarters, say using number four shot with 400 some pellets, I would feel much better about discharging arround of nine balls of Double-O Buck at close range.

- Q At close range you will be dead with either?
- A Yes.
- Q But at distance with Double-O, nine people may be dead whereas with the birdshot you may have a lot of people picking beebees out of their skin.

A Again, you could conceivably have many dead persons killed with the finer shot also. Depending where they were hit, how far away they were. Personally, if you had number eight birdshot or number six shot, I

10

8

12

16

17

18 19

20

21

22

23

24

25

wouldn't hesitate to stand 80 yards away from you if you fired at me. But I would not want to be 20 yards away.

This would spread out and anyone of these could kill you at 20 yards.

Whatever the relative merits would be, did anyone weight it in connection with this action?

Not to my knowledge, the simple fact of the matter is we do not have any other shotgun loads except ball or the slug and the buckshot.

Are you aware that the National Guard issued Q number nine shot for its emergency situations?

I understand they use it for so-called riot situations. I do not have first-hand knowledge.

Q Do you know why the National Guard would prohibit Double-O and use only number nine and the State Police use only Double-0?

No, sir, I wouldn't. Α

Is there anybody that you know of, and I know that you are not at the top level of the command, but is there anybody in the state who coordinates between their various forces so that if one force decides it isn't appropriate to use Double-O and only number nine should be used, that another doesn't go ahead and have only Double-0?

22

23 24

25

Α I know the National Guard 1778 and our organization work closely and maintain liason. I would say further that in a situation such as we faced at Attica, in addition to it being a very unique situation, it was not what you would call a true riot situation.

There is a big difference--I was at a riot situation involving a group of college students and there is a vast difference of that sort and what we had going on at Attica.

When you went out on this mission, did you expect casualties?

Expect to use that word--I would say I felt that Α we would have some casualties.

Did you see any acts of inmate resistance? Q

I saw, in addition to the individuals coming up onto the barricade, the activity at Times Square, I saw in the D-yard, I saw an inmate running toward a trooper with a piece of two by four, whatever it may have been I don't know.

How far was he from the trooper when you saw him?

I couldn't even say. I know he was running toward him and I did not see what actually happened to him

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

You saw all of these things Q and yet you didn't discharge your weapon once, am I correct?

Α Yes, sir. I did not.

There are other troopers seeing the same things that did discharge weapons.

Α Right.

So it really comes down to this question of the Q individual judgment of troopers as to whether they felt that their life was endangered and knowing that they couldn't engage in hand-to-hand combat, this was the only resort they had, is that fair?

Well, again I would like to say at this point that each individual situation faced by the various individuals will be reacted to in a different manner.

Depending in part on the individual? 0

I would say that would be one of the factors. You could take into consideration who is coming toward you. What does he have in his hand. How tall is he. How heavy is he. Is he 30 feet away. Is he five feet away. These are all matters that would have to be considered.

We will be presenting some of these situations to him that led to actually shooting and given the warning against hand-to-hand combat, merely the situation that

9

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20 21

22

23

25

24

1780 it was not appropriate, a decision made by the State Police here that it wasn't appropriate, I wonder what you really expected a trooper to do if an inmate was coming toward him with a baseball bat or a two by four or a knife in his hand and was not stopping

Was he supposed to wait, to shoot, to engage in hand-to-hand combat?

and was 20 feet away.

Again, as I mentioned, I believe earlier, this is something the individual would have to determine at his own level. I did see one instance where an inmate was disarmed by the trooper. He took the bat away from him.

But in terms of the principle that we have Q enunciated of being accountable, each trooper being accountable for his own action, if that trooper, seeing the inmate coming toward him with a baseball bat and swinging it, if that trooper had fired, would he have been accountable?

Would you as his commanding officer have felt it was inappropriate for him to have fired?

Put it this way. I feel he handled the situation very wll but again, I was not with him.

He used restraint there. If he had not used restraint and had discharged his weapon because we will

1

4 5

6

7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1781 of that nature, would you have thought it was inappropriate given the instructions that the men were under?

You see, just simply sitting here and bringing Α it about in that manner I really cannot answer that because, again, you don't make a decision whether it's appropriate or not that quickly.

The man making the decision, he makes it in the split second. Right or wrong he has to answer for it.

That's the point. You keep saying that he has Q to answer for it am one of the people he has to answer for it to is you, captain, because you are his commanding officer or superior officer.

My question was not whether he may have felt that he was justified but you observing it, would you have felt in that instance where you saw the officer disarm the inmate with the baseball bat, if instead of doing that and risking hand-to-hand combat he had discharged his shotgun, would you have thought that was inappropriate?

Α I will answer that. First I would like to add a little something to that. It worked out well. He disarmed this individual.

Take an example of this trooper trying to disarm him, being struck with the bat, knocked down, losing his

2 =

Q In fact it could have been many more because of the spread if the gun was fired toward approaching

A He could have got any number of men. A man has a weapon with six rounds. He conceivably at least kill six people with it. So at this point it worked out well. So I say terrific, he did a great job.

troopers, it could have wiped out a lot of men.

But now, not being with him at that moment and just simply observing it, if he had shot this individual, I would have to say it appears at this moment that he was forced to shoot him.

You cannot tell by simply looking at something in a brief glance and make a decision on the spot and say you did a great job.

Q In fact a trooper that did that, did not discharge his gun, took a risk in disarming the man that the trooper would lose his weapon, as you have pointed out.

A Yes.

Q And that was one of the risks, that the instruction against hand-to-hand combat was intended to reduce, minimize or eliminate.

A Here you have to bear in mind, as I say, I did

5

6

7

8 9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1783 not talk to this trooper later. I did not talk to the inmate he disarmed. It all depends on many factors I mentioned previously. The size of the individual, how is he holding the bat, how is he coming at you.

To go back to the barricade, the man with the spear, I don't think I would have tried to disarm him. This man was handling it as if he knew what he was doing with it. As if he meant to use it.

Well, possibly the individual trying to club the trooper, possibly he may have been a slight individual, maybe he didn't appear to mean what he was trying to do.

From what you saw of everything, captain, were you surpriesed that over 300 rounds of fire were expended in that yard on that day?

I did not know the exact number of rounds fired.

We don't know it either for the reasons that 0 we discussed with Major Monahan but at least 300 were admitted to from the various forces involved. Are you surprised?

Well, 300 does seem like a large number. couldn't say I was surprised. I felt that there couldn't have been 300 fired. I'll put it that way. I don't get too surprised about some matters but I felt that 300 was a lot of rounds.

3

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Q Did you hear any automatic weapons when you were out there?

I couldn't say if I heard automatic weapons From the gun fire I heard I could not tell whether it was automatic or simply a hand gun or whatever.

And you were also under the same instruction and understanding that correction officers were not to participate in the firing, is that correct?

Right. Α

You did not know until afterward that correction officers had participated?

After we had removed the people from the barricade on the B-block side, I was assigned to take one detail and go into the building which had been predetermined.

Go into the B-block, move toward the D-block area and meet another captain and his detail and clear the two cell blocks. We fired tear gas in there, we cleared the 11 people from the B-block and the other group had 13 inmates.

These were generally elderly men, several crippled individuals, people who were simply frightened and were hiding. We had no problem. We only expended tear gas in there.

When we came back out, I came back out of the B-block entrance way onto the top of the tunnel and I

14	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	-
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
24	

noted correction officers in the yard 1785 and--at this time the inmates were moving back into the A-block area.

Q Was there still firing going on when you returned from B-block?

A No, not at this point.

MR.LIMAN: I thank you.

EXAMINATION BY MR. CARTER:

Q I have just one question, captain. I'm struck by the discussion between you and Mr. Liman about the use of firearms, the firing of the firearms, of judgment.

How does one enforce, how is it possible to enforce any order or gegulation that men are supposed to use discretion or use restraint in the use of firearms? You never fired your weapon and yet two people who were with you at the time apparently shot and killed two men you saw and yet you fired no weapon.

What is the standard, knowing but an individual judgment? How are men going to be held accountable for the use of restraint and this is something I would like to discuss for a moment.

A I really don't--I can't picture what you are trying to get at here. The man is held accountable if he does something which, in regards to whether it's firing

12

13

14 15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22

23

24

25

a weapon or any other matter within the division of the State Police. We have regulations which he must abide by and he must abide by the laws of the State of New York and the United States.

If he violates one of these laws, if he uses poor judgment, then he is brought to task for it. In a situation of this sort where someone is attacking you with a, what I would consider to be a deadly weapon, is there really in that specific instance, where these two men were killed, and again this is a tragedy, two lives were lost but from all appearances these individuals meant to take the lives of someone else so the two members who killed these men apparently felt they were covered by law when they took the action they did.

And in a case of this sort I would say it's quite clear as to whether they were right or wrong, generally so.

Again, weighing it on a moment's notice. It's hard to weigh anything completely in a brief instant. This is the burden that's placed on the police officer and he lives this throughout his career.

He makes a judgment at a moment's notice and right or wrong he has to live with it. He cannot change it.

I understand that. This is really the, I guess 0

10

12

11

13

14 15

16

17

18

19

20

21 22

23

24

25

the problem that police officers always seem to me they have to face in reaction to dealing with people who regard themselves, as for example, powerless. Like the inmate or like blacks or like other people, who are an out group.

In a situation of this kind, you were with your men, these men came over the barricades or were men as you, you didn't fire your gun but two other men did.

Under the circumstances the judgment is that they were using the appropriate judgment and you were always using appropriate judgment by not firing. Are the standards individual and single?

At that point I did not fire but I was being so covered, so to speak, by them. They were protecting I was concerned with my detail and yet I would have to admit that if, say this individual was not stopped and he continued on and no one stopped him and he was going to use the weapon on me, I would have been forced tokill him myself.

It is something that you look back on and you can't say why it happened one way or another due to the variable involved here.

But the situation is that -- the situation is such that in instances of this kind there is no superior authority that can--that really is in a

5

6 7

8

9

10

12

11

13

14 15

16

17

18

19

20

21 22

23

24

25

position to these men that you have overstepped the boundaries, the standards.

It is an individual judgment that has to be made. There is no way for any accountable in a situation of that other than an individual's conscience, I gather.

I don't know really how to answer that. I think at this point it's more or less a statement of fact that you are telling me, it's not a question any longer.

- Well, it was meant to be a question. Q
- Could you rephrase it initially. Α
- I really can't. I can't rephrase it. I am just trying to find out from you and I am not pressing you. I think this is a public issue of some importance. It seems to me philosophically in any event in these kinds--in the kinds of situations where judgment has to be utilized by officers where people's lives are at stake and where police authorities have the question of life or death of other people in their hands.

The question that concerns me and I am trying to probe for it is who holds the individual officer accountable in the situation?

It seems to me that the question, as Mr. Liman has indicated, I guess maybe that's the answer, it's a purely subjective judgment of the individual.

4 5

6

7 8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1789 possibly some day society will feel that the role of the police officer should be changed and we may have society where police officers function much differently than the way they do today, I don't know.

But at this point in our society we have a, say, for example, a trooper trained to do a certain job. He knows what his training is. He is guided, again, I know back to the fact he is guided by law and regulation and until such time as the entire picture is changed where be this trooper will not make the decisions himself. I feel we're going to have to live with it.

It's something that--apparently it's a matter of issue. You brought it up and I can see your reasoning here. However, I really don't know how to answer that.

> MR. CARTER: Thank you.

EXAMINATION BY MR. HENIX:

Captain, a question I wanted to ask you, one of the questions I want to ask you was, what kind of relationship existed between the troopers from Batavia, which is Troop A, and the community of Attica?

This I really couldn't answer. I don't know. Only through my feelings with people at the correctional facility, I know people at the facility itself.

I met the chief of police previously. A couple of the police officers. I really don't know anyone in the community of Attica itself.

- Q How about as the captain of Troop A, do you know your men?
 - A Do I know my men?
 - Q Yes.

A Again, I don't feel anyone really knows anyone. You can be married to a woman for 20 years and you will never know her completely.

Q You know certain things about her. I know a little about mine. At least enough to recognize her and her friends.

A I feel this. I feel that I know the men in Troop A as well as any of the officers, if not better in some instances. I was first sergeant previously and as first sergeant you get to know many of the men who were there. We have new ones since then but I do know them quite well.

Q Do any, would you know if any of these men have relatives or families that work within the prison in Attica?

A I don't know of any who do. I myself have a brother who is a correction officer. He is no

22

23

24

25

longer at Attica. He has been transferred since. I know we had a trooper from Troop E who had a brother who was a hostage.

- Was a hostage? Q
- Α Yes.
- Was he part of the assault? Q

Α He was in a detail. Which one I don't know at this point. I know he was on one of the details.

Did it ocur or was there any discussion made in reference to the fact that he might be emotionally strung-out?

There was nothing I know of because I do not know this man personally or his brother who is a correction officer. I heard of this after the -- in fact it was probably two or three days after the 13th that I first heard of it.

Are there any black members of Troop A in Batavia?

At the time we had one trooper who has since been transferred into the Bureau of Criminal Investigation and we have in Troop A another -- two other detectives who are in the Bureau of Criminal Investigation who are black.

But the one that was transferred, was there any particular reason why he didn't participate in the

5

A No, this I don't know.

Q In keeping with my second question, in keeping with the direction of the questioning in reference to the type of shot that was used, Double-O, is it a requirement of the state troopers to become efficient with side arms like--I understand they all had side arms.

Do they practice with these weapons?

A Yes. In fact we have a practice session so to speak coming up now, we call it a training session, and each individual will report to the range and qualify for one full day with the various weapons.

Q So they are rated. If he can't hit the side of a barn, I am sure you would have to dismiss him from the force?

A At our academy we have certain requirements insofar as our weapons are involved. They must qualify with a certain score, be proficient in the handling of it and the man who does not qualify in regards to weapons or classroom work, whatever, he is disqualified and he is dropped.

Q I understood you very clearly to say of course the weapons that are used within the legal ramification of our society and that of course, a man and a trooper

is supposed to protect himself 1793

against any hostile action which, of course, makes

it possible for him to completely radicate this problem.

I am just curious in my mind, thinking of a man who is climbing over a barricade with a spear, what appeared to be a spear, a homemade weapon, that he could have been brought down, I mean, if there were any feelings there, it wasn't just completely destructive, he could have been brought down with a sidearm.

You say you were with a company of troopers, enough to protect you.

A I think that at that point, a distance of what, seven or eight feet, whatever, makes a small difference whether he is brought down with a sidearm or a shotgun.

It would make a lot of difference. I swear to God, I would rather get hit with one of them small pieces than get hit with a Double-O. If the guys aim is a little bad I might end up with one leg.

I don't feel there is any requirement that you shoot to kill the individual. I don't feel the regulations were meant with this intent.

Q I know they are not. What I am really looking at captain, is really I guess what I'm saying is that the emotional nature of that entire situation, you know, I am sure, had a great deal to do with the willingness

¹23

these troopers displayed in using 1794 that Double-O even though they had sidearms.

I mean there was no question when a man got hit with that, anything short of a miracle, he had to die. He just had to. I was really just kind of looking at that aspect of the trooper's responsibility to the public and to himself and to whatever the trooper's representative cause in our prior conversation before this, we got into a thing and I told you that the impression of the troopers as far as the black community, at least the part I was a part of, that they were the Gestapo and I think what you said, that's one of the reasons why they changed the uniform from the type of pants—

A I didn't say the State Police as such. I said many Police departmens had done away with this because some individuals said it gave a jacket boot effect to the police officer.

Q Even though I have to admit that even, you know, driving up the highway and if a trooper stopped me,
I was terrified. It could be just a traffic violation but this is the image in the black community of the
New York State troopers, that these people are really unfeeling or unsympathetic to the needs or of the black community as such and so based on that, never

•

troopers, I would actually be up a little tight, much tighter than I would be if a traffic cop stopped me in New York City.

A I don't see any reason for that. I cannot

having any altercation with

A I don't see any reason for that. I cannot do anything to control this feeling but that this feeling should not be. The State Police, as I mentioned previously, in other discussions, nothing is a personal matter.

The man is trained that nothing should become personal. He is issuing a traffic ticket or arresting a man for murder, rape, what have you. Each individual is treated in the same manner.

As far as the black community, I don't know.

We have black members and I didn't know that this feeling

was prevalent or that it were restricted to one certain

group of individuals or another. Ethnic group or whatever.

Q I can assure you that it does exist in the black community. I am hoping that something perhaps could be said at these hearings to dispel some of that fear. But the other question I wanted to ask you, which there were three questions.

One of the things that I was sure I heard you say was thatyou, while proceeding along the top of the tunnels, you noticed an inmate in the yard running across

9

12

10

13

15

16

14

17

18 19

20

21 22

23

24

25

the yard with what appeared to be a two by four, something of that tupe and then you lost sight of it. How was that possible?

- How was that possible?
- Yes. Q

Well, again, as I have said several times previously, I was intent on my mission and I saw many things that I saw for an instant or I saw briefly.

I did not stop and look at any situation I saw especially in the yard below. I was concerned with the top of the catwalk. With my men. My own position. Anything that happened in the yard was in my detail and I was not really concerned with it at that point.

Were there other troopers of higher rank that had--were part of say the assault or in any way went into the yard in the same capacity that you went into?

This I don't know. I know there were superiors out in the yard later. When I came from the B-block I saw them on the catwalk and whether they went out with an assault group or not I could not say.

Because you showed a lot of concern and your men showed a lot of concern for you and I was just surprised that you would see an inmate running with a two by four, I don't know whether he was waving it

above his head or however, you did 1797

notice it and you didn't know whether he was approaching

on a trooper or hostage or whatever and the responsi
bility for the troopers was within your hands as a captain.

A I could not at that point, picture roughly a thousand individuals in this one compound and it's quite difficult from the position I was at and from the position I saw this individual to really make any determination as to what was happening.

MR. HENIX: Thank you, sir.

Q I have a brief question in relation to Mr. Carter's question. I want to rephrase it into a concrete example and ask your judgment on this matter.

Let's suppose we had a television camera behind you when you were going out on C Catwalk and we recorded everything that took place.

And what, if this morning or this afternoon we had a screen up here and all four of us could see it and you could see it. Let's suppose that in this film, first of all, there was an inmate simply standing behind this catwalk with his hands down, perhaps with something in his hands, we don't know.

And then after that he raises up with a spear in his hand and after that he throws the spear.

Let's suppose that all three of us were watching this film. You are a supervisor. This is your job.

Let's suppose as I see the inmate standing behind the barricade with something in his hand, I don't know what, I, with my toy gun or whatever the training pistol is, I shoot.

Let's suppose Mrs. Wadsworth, as he is just about to throw the speer, shoots. We stop it at each

12

15 16

13

14

17 18

19

20

21 22

23

24 25

1799 point. Let's suppose Mr. Carter says, I'm not sure he is going to throw that spear and he waits, and waits too long and the spear is thrown and Mr. Henix is killed with the spear.

You are a supervisor. Would you say that, if you could see the film, we have all seen all the details, would be able to make a judgment if he had seen the film as to whether my action was unlawful and whether Mr. Carter's action was unlawful, would be able to tell us from viewing that film?

To digress a little bit, I went at one time Α to a training session and part of the training was going through a situation, a mock up situation such as the type you mentioned.

You are armed with blanks and this situation arose, it could be a false front of a building, in the doorway would appear someone with what appeared to be a gun.

The instructor in the background would, if you hesitate, he would say, you're dead, he shot you.

This would be an individual, he would have Dillinger or something wrote on his jacket and he would have a shotgun pointed at you.

Another one they had was a small boy, probably 8 years old, a large blow up, a picture of him dressed

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

And there were people who went through that and when that picture, this little boy appeared, he would draw his gun and fire the blank.

It's very difficult to answer this question. I really can't give you a concrete answer.

You are a training officer and the film was I thought he was going to kill me, would you say well, that's your individual judgment, sir, and I respect that judgment and that's okay, or would you say to me, that's inappropriate?

Α In these two instances, Dillinger or the small boy dressed as the cowboy, if you shot the little individual dressed as a cowboy you would probably be told, well, you made a mistake, you did a wrong thing.

If you shot Dillinger, he would say great, you did the right thing. Of he didn't shoot, he would sav you made a mistake.

Again, it is simply here all that is really pointed out in this training exercise was the fact that each individual reacts differently and you have to make the decision on your own 'level.

The case is we don't have a TV camera on what happened in the yard. Have you attempted to recreate those circumstances so I can determine -- in other

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

words, have you investigated in depth or do you as a general practice, not specifically at Attica, but do you question people in trying to determine whether in fact what an individual officer did under your control, you are a supervisor, do you try to determine if what he did was similar to what I did, what Mrs. Wadsworth did or what Mr. Carter did?

Do you try to determine that or is it simply okay, it's your discretion? Can you answer that?

No, we always debrief our people and we critique any incident later.

Many times you come up with a concrete answer. Many times you don't. It's again, it's how an individual will look at it. One individual can observe this and say, well, this man did the right thing. Another one will observe the same action and say he did the wrong thing.

After Attica is over, has there been an effort Q to draw the persons together who were involved in the assault and discuss the different type of situations they faced and how they reacted and try to evaluate, criticize each other in this respect?

There has been no official discussion of this sort that I know of. However, I'm quite sure, which has happened after the riots at Rochester and other major

officers and our division headquarters officers and this matter is critiqued and completely covered. I think at this point, as you well are aware of, the entire matter concerning Attica is more or less up in the air.

MR. WILBANKS: Thank you very much. BY MRS. WADSWORTH:

Q Captain, I want to refer back to Mr. Henix's comments about the State Troopers and I think it is only fair for someone to say that there are those of us in rural New York where the trooper is quite different.

I think it only fair that this be brought out too in public television. There is, I think, a little of the Canadian Mounties in many people's minds and I think it should be said there is that too.

I would like to follow that comment up with a question about the recruiting of the State Troopers. Is there a long waiting list? Are people very anxious to get into the State Troopers these days? Or is it hard to recruit or could you comment on that?

A We generally do not have a problem in recruiting people. We have an active recruiting program. We have applicants.

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

At the present time we are not hiring individuals. The last members we hired graduated from the Academy, I believe, on November 11, 1970. Since that time we have had no new members. We could at this time, I understand we are approximately 300 and some individuals short within the division, to meet our commitments. Due to budgetary problems, we are not hiring anyone at this time.

However, we hope to in the future. The actual recruitment itself, we do publicize it. We have in the past, I have supervised the candidate examinations in various areas. Buffalo, what have you, and there have been -- I would say the last one I recall in the Buffalo area, there are close to 2500 original applicants that I know of. I had heard, not definitely, but I heard from our people in division headquarters at the time of the examination, we had 800 some people show up for the actual examination in Buffalo itself. This would be from Buffalo and the Western New York area. We really have no great problem in having people apply.

Is there any attempt made to recruit from minority groups?

I don't know if you can say it is actually attempting to go into any certain area. However, when

our people apply we don't, on the original appli- 1804 cation we don't know whether the man is black, white, Indian, Chinese or whatever. When he applies, the application comes in, he receives a card to report for the examination. He takes the exam and it is all coded in a way so that when the examinations are corrected and graded, we don't do it, it is sent out and graded by others.

We don't know who is qualified insofar as the written examination although I will add at the time I recall the examination in the Buffalo area was in the Port Authority Building.

Of the 800 some persons there, I was quite--I will say I took note of the fact that there were probably not over 15 or 16 black men in the group.

MRS. WADSWORTH: Thank you.

MR. CARTER: The fact is, Captain, that you are, it is a fact that insofar as blacks and Puerto Ricans are concerned, you are under-represented on the force, isn't that so?

THE WITNESS: We have a Puerto Rican.

MR. CARTER: One Puerto Rican.

THE WITNESS: Is that a little? I don't

know.

MR. CARTER: You know, I think you know

8 ¹	our procedure is that if you at this time 1805
2	wish to make any statement of your own without
3	response to questions, you are welcome to do so.
4	THE WITNESS: I would rather not.
5	MR. CARTER: Thank you very much, sir.
6	(Witness excused.)
7	MR. LIMAN: Lieutenant Christian.
8	LT. JOSEPH CHRISTIAN, called
9	as a witness, having been first duly sworn by
10	Mr. McKay, was examined and testified as follows:
11	MR. LIMAN: Mr. Munisteri.
12	EXAMINATION BY MR. MUNISTERI:
13	Q Will you state your name, please.
14	A Joseph P. Christian.
15	Q You are a member of the New York State
16	Police?
17	A I am.
18	Q What is your rank?
19	A Lieutenant.
20	Q Were you at Attica in that capacity during the
21	disturbance last September?
22	A I was.
23	Q You were there for the whole four days, were
24	you?
25	A Yes, sir.