

**Sourcebook of criminal justice statistics Online**

http://www.albany.edu/sourcebook/pdf/t542010.pdf

Table 5.4.2010

**Court-authorized orders for interception of wire, oral, or electronic communications**

By jurisdiction, 1997-2010

Jurisdiction	Intercept applications authorized														
	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	
Total	1,186	1,329	1,350	1,190	1,491	1,358	1,442	1,710	1,773	1,839	2,208	1,891	2,376	3,194	
Federal	569	566	601	479	486	497	578	730	625	461	457	386	663	1,207	
Alaska	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Arizona	6	6	8	18	10	7	14	10	12	17	35	22	30	28	
California	28	52	76	88	130	143	188	180	235	430	560	418	586	657	
Colorado	4	1	2	5	2	0	2	0	12	43	50	77	115	102	
Connecticut	8	23	15	4	9	0	4	0	12	2	11	0	3	9	
Delaware	0	1	1	0	0	0	1	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	
District of Columbia	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Florida	57	44	23	43	51	37	45	72	72	98	104	102	78	143	
Georgia	18	9	11	3	7	4	4	33	27	15	28	44	34	33	
Hawaii	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	
Idaho	0	0	1	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	
Illinois	17	27	50	41	128	25	23	21	3	1	1	18	1	28	
Indiana	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	4	1	
Iowa	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Kansas	0	4	4	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	3	2	8	
Louisiana	2	3	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Maine <sup>a</sup>	X	X	X	X	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Maryland	27	32	6	31	49	54	25	34	41	67	108	77	21	84	
Massachusetts	2	0	15	5	11	8	16	23	11	17	9	5	8	6	
Minnesota	0	1	6	0	1	0	0	1	2	0	5	0	3	0	
Mississippi	4	4	3	0	6	1	3	3	8	0	0	0	12	8	
Missouri	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Nebraska	4	2	4	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Nevada	10	13	9	10	0	4	12	8	3	10	22	48	55	43	
New Hampshire	4	1	9	0	1	0	5	13	0	0	0	0	0	0	
New Jersey	70	84	71	45	99	81	117	144	218	189	200	175	206	215	
New Mexico	1	0	0	4	0	3	0	0	0	3	3	0	0	0	
New York	304	373	343	349	425	404	328	347	391	377	518	433	424	480	
North Carolina	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	8	11	5	0	5	1	
North Dakota	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Ohio	2	2	3	6	2	1	2	1	0	28	2	6	1	4	
Oklahoma	0	1	6	4	2	2	0	16	7	7	4	3	10	14	
Oregon	1	1	1	1	2	0	0	0	6	2	3	4	0	29	
Pennsylvania	42	68	69	43	54	79	52	32	47	29	47	21	47	49	
Rhode Island	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	7	0	0	0	
South Carolina <sup>b</sup>	X	X	X	X	X	0	2	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	
South Dakota	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Tennessee	0	0	1	1	0	0	10	36	21	26	20	34	55	35	
Texas	0	5	4	0	1	2	4	0	4	2	4	2	0	2	
Utah	0	5	2	3	4	4	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Virgin Islands	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Virginia	1	0	6	0	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	
Washington	0	0	0	2	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
West Virginia	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Wisconsin	3	0	0	1	4	0	2	2	5	2	4	9	10	0	
Wyoming	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	3	5	

Note: The Director of the Administrative Office of the United States Courts (AO) is required, in accordance with 18 U.S.C. 2519(1), to transmit to Congress a report regarding applications for orders authorizing or approving the interception of wire, oral, or electronic communications. Every State and Federal judge is required to file a report with the AO on each application made. Prosecuting officials who have applied for intercept orders are required to submit reports to the AO. Forty-seven jurisdictions (the Federal Government, the District of Columbia, the Virgin Islands, and 44 States) had statutes authorizing the interception of wire, oral, or electronic communications during 2010; 26 of these jurisdictions had court-authorized orders for interception during 2010 (Source, 2010, p. 6).

<sup>a</sup>Maine did not have legislation authorizing interception of communications prior to 2001.

<sup>b</sup>South Carolina did not have legislation authorizing interception of communications prior to 2002.

Source: Administrative Office of the United States Courts, 1997 Wiretap Report, pp. 13, 14; 1998, pp. 13, 14; 1999, pp. 13, 14; 2000, pp. 14, 15; 2001, pp. 14, 15; 2002, pp. 14, 15; 2003, pp. 14, 15; 2004, pp. 14, 15; 2005, pp. 14, 15; 2006, pp. 14, 15; 2007, pp. 14, 15; 2008, pp. 13, 14; 2009, pp. 12, 13; 2010, pp. 12, 13 (Washington, DC: Administrative Office of the United States Courts). Table constructed by SOURCEBOOK staff.