

Table 5.3.2006

## Court-authorized orders for interception of wire, oral, or electronic communications

By most serious offense under investigation, United States, 1997-2006

Intercept applications authorized	Most serious offense								
	Narcotics	Racketeering	Gambling	Homicide, assault	Kidnaping	Loansharking, usury, extortion	Larceny, theft, robbery	Bribery	Other
<b>Total</b>									
1997	870	93	98	31	6	24	22	13	29
1998	955	153	93	53	5	12	19	9	30
1999	978	139	60	62	7	11	12	42	39
2000	894	76	49	72	4	10	19	21	45
2001	1,167	70	82	52	1	28	51	1	39
2002	1,052	72	82	58	5	18	10	3	58
2003	1,104	96	49	80	7	6	50	9	41
2004	1,308	138	90	48	4	5	39	16	62
2005	1,433	94	42	82	6	8	16	4	88
2006	1,473	90	56	119	9	6	20	1	65
<b>Federal</b>									
1997	467	30	20	2	5	16	4	4	21
1998	458	58	3	7	1	4	8	5	22
1999	504	61	0	4	3	2	2	1	24
2000	385	45	2	2	1	6	4	4	30
2001	424	26	5	1	0	3	6	0	21
2002	406	59	3	3	3	6	2	1	14
2003	502	43	2	1	0	5	0	1	24
2004	646	51	0	5	0	1	3	4	20
2005	521	42	1	3	0	6	3	4	45
2006	432	5	0	1	2	1	2	1	17
<b>State</b>									
1997	403	63	78	29	1	8	18	9	8
1998	497	95	90	46	4	8	11	4	8
1999	474	78	60	58	4	9	10	41	15
2000	509	31	47	70	3	4	15	17	15
2001	743	44	77	51	1	25	45	1	18
2002	646	13	79	55	2	12	8	2	44
2003	602	53	47	79	7	1	50	8	17
2004	662	87	90	43	4	4	36	12	42
2005	912	52	41	79	6	2	13	0	43
2006	1,041	85	56	118	7	5	18	0	48

Note: The Director of the Administrative Office of the United States Courts (AO) is required, in accordance with 18 U.S.C. 2519(1), to transmit to Congress a report regarding applications for orders authorizing or approving the interception of wire, oral, or electronic communications. Every State and Federal judge is required to file a report with the AO on each application made. Prosecuting officials who have applied for intercept orders are required to submit reports to the AO. Forty-seven jurisdictions (the Federal Government, the District of Columbia, the Virgin Islands, and 44 States) had statutes authorizing the interception of wire, oral, or electronic communications during 2008; 23 of these jurisdictions had court-authorized orders for interception during 2008 (Source, **2008**, pp. 6, 7).

Source: Administrative Office of the United States Courts, **1997 Wiretap Report**, pp. 17-19; **1998**, pp. 18-20; **1999**, pp. 17-19; **2000**, pp. 18-20; **2001**, pp. 18-20; **2002**, pp. 18-22; **2003**, pp. 19-21; **2004**, pp. 18-20; **2005**, pp. 18-20; **2006**, pp. 19-22 (Washington, DC: Administrative Office of the United States Courts). Table adapted by SOURCEBOOK staff.