Polycapillary x-ray optics can be designed for a wide variety of x-ray applications. They have been used as focusing collectors for x-ray astronomy, to produce large-area collimated beams for wafer analysis and to provide small focused beams for protein crystallography with low-power x-ray sources. They are also being developed for a number of medical applications, including the removal of Compton scattering with the resultant improvement in contrast and resolution in mammography, the production of monochromatic parallel beams for high-contrast imaging in a clinical setting and the detection and localization of radioactive tracers in prostate cancer. Other exciting applications are extensions of measurements normally performed at synchrotrons into laboratory or clinical settings because of the increased efficiency of source utilization. The realization of these applications has been advanced by the recent marked improvement in available optic quality and reproducibility. Manufacturing progress has been assisted by the development of simulation analyses which allow for increasingly accurate assessment of optics defects. Optics performance over the whole range of energy from 10 to 80 keV can often be matched with one or two fitting parameters. This software development is ongoing, as is development of crystallographic analysis software designed to be used with highly focused beams. Continuing optics manufacturing challenges include the advance of applications at energies above 40 keV and the production of optics for imaging which are of adequate clinical size. Copyright © 2003 John Wiley & Sons, Ltd.
Applications and advances in polycapillary optics

Figure 1. Cross-sectional scanning electron micrograph of a polycapillary fiber with 0.55 mm outer diameter and 50 µm diameter channels.

Figure 2. X-rays traveling in a bent capillary tube. The lower ray (entering close to the center of curvature) strikes at a larger angle.

Figure 3. Multifiber collimating lens constructed from over 1000 individual polycapillary fibers strung through a series of four metal grids. The lens is 10 cm long with a 20 x 20 mm output. The fibers are parallel at the output end (shown) and at the input end point to a common focal spot 15 cm from the entrance.

Figure 4. Sketch of the interior channels of a monolithic polycapillary optic. Monolithic optics can be focusing, as shown, or collimating, as in Fig. 3. Sketch adapted from Ref. 11.

Figure 5. Simulations of transmission spectra for a fiber with waviness values from 0.15 to 0.3 mrad compared with the experimental data. The simulations do not include the roughness or bending. Adapted from Ref. 42.

Figure 6. Sketch of the interior channels of a monolithic polycapillary optic. Monolithic optics can be focusing, as shown, or collimating, as in Fig. 3. Sketch adapted from Ref. 11.

Figure 7. X-rays traveling in a bent capillary tube. The lower ray (entering close to the center of curvature) strikes at a larger angle.

Figure 8. Simulations of transmission spectra for a fiber with waviness values from 0.15 to 0.3 mrad compared with the experimental data. The simulations do not include the roughness or bending. Adapted from Ref. 42.
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and waviness\(^{33,42}\) of the capillary walls to be taken into account. Very good agreement is found between simulation and experimental results for a wide range of geometries.

**Waviness.** Capillary surface oscillations with wavelengths shorter than the capillary length and longer than the wavelength of the roughness are called waviness. The detailed shape of the channel walls is unknown, but waviness is modeled as a random tilt of the glass wall. The tilt angles are assumed to have a Gaussian distribution with width \(\sigma\). For high-quality glass and photon energies less than 200 keV, \(\sigma\) is much smaller than the critical angle, \(\theta_c\). Consideration is taken in the simulation of the fact that the surface tilt angle will affect the probability of x-ray impact on that surface.\(^{42}\) The effect of waviness on fiber transmission is shown in Fig. 5.\(^{53,42}\) Waviness is primarily responsible for reduction of transmission at mid-range energies, and additionally for a reduction in the width of source scans at those energies. A simulation fit including waviness and bending for a single 0.5 mm diameter fiber with 10 µm channels is shown in Fig. 6.\(^{33}\) Most borosilicate and lead glass optics have simulation fitting parameters which give a Gaussian width for the waviness of 0.12–0.15 mrad. This is in agreement with the slope error data of the Cornell group.\(^{43}\)

**Blockage.** Another defect that is seen occasionally in borosilicate glass optics, and more prevalently in lead glass fibers,\(^{32}\) is a drop in transmission at low energies, as shown in Fig. 7. Reasonable agreement is obtained over the whole range of photon energies by assuming that a glass layer of fixed thickness blocks the channels. The increase in required layer thickness with fiber length is consistent with a stochastic random model of glass inclusions. This random probability of glass inclusions would cause the transmission to drop exponentially with optic length, as shown in Fig. 8.
Figure 6. Transmission of a single fiber of the type used for the lens in Fig. 11(b), compared with simulation analysis with fitting parameters waviness $D_0 = 0.15$ mrad and unintentional central axis bending radius $R = 120$ m. From Ref. 47. Reproduced with permission from International Society for Optical Engineering.

Figure 7. Transmission of two similar lead glass fibers, 30 and 60 mm in length. The simulation fits include 17 and 33 µm of glass layer, respectively, or 0.55 µm of blockage per mm of length. Adapted from Ref. 32.

**Bending.** Bending the channels increases the x-ray incidence angles, as shown in Fig. 2. Because the critical angle, $\theta_c$, is inversely proportional to the x-ray photon energy,$^4$ bending the channels decreases the x-ray transmission down the channels most significantly at higher photon energies. For the previously described measurements, the fibers were laid in grooves to be as straight as possible, although they suffered some unintentional bending. Deliberate bending measurements have also been performed using a simple mechanical apparatus,$^{30}$ for which results are shown as a function of angular deflection in Fig. 9,$^{44}$ or using a more elaborate system designed to mimic multifiber lenses,$^{45}$ shown as a function of photon energy in Fig. 10. In both cases, there is good agreement between known curvatures and simulation fits. The simulation allows for accurate lens design. Using the simulations, lenses can be designed to have curvatures which deliberately discriminate against high photon energies, for example to enhance monochromaticity.

**Lens quality analysis**

The output image of an older collimating lens is shown in Fig. 11(a). Despite the occasional blocked channels from the relatively poor quality glass, most of the measured non-uniformity, as shown in Fig. 12, is a result of the drop in transmission at the outer edges of the lens due to the increased bending required of the off-axis fibers to keep the entrance ends directed toward the focal point.$^5$ The output non-uniformity was less than 6% for the central 20 mm of the optic.$^48$ Some reduction in transmission is due, in addition to fiber defects, to the effects of fiber misalignment, either due to manufacturing or to years of rough handling. This can be seen in the measurements, shown in Fig. 13, of transmission.
Figure 10. Simulated and experimental transmission for a fiber bent by deliberately displacing fiber holding screens of the kind used in a multifiber lens. The lines are simulated data with waviness $w = 0.12$ mrad, roughness $z = 0.65$ nm and different bending radii, $R$. Adapted from Ref. 45.

Figure 11. Images of x-ray output of (a) an older $3 \times 3$ cm lens with a 15 cm focal length, designed for 8 keV, and (b) a newer $1 \times 1$ cm lens with a 25 cm focal length, designed for 20 keV.

Figure 12. Measured and simulated local transmission of the lens in Figure 11(b), at 8 keV. The uniformity scan was carried out by scanning a $5 \times 6$ mm lead aperture across the output beam. Adapted from Ref. 42.

Figure 13. Variation in normalized measured (□) and simulated (×) transmission as the source is moved away from the lens along the fiber axis, for the lens in Fig. 11(a). Adapted from Ref. 44.

Figure 14. Measured local divergence of the output of the lens in Fig. 11(a) at 8 keV. Adapted from Ref. 46.

can be explained by fiber misalignment. Fibers that should be pointing at the focal spot are actually pointing slightly in front of the desired location, causing lower focal point transmission and higher transmission when the source is off the focal spot.55

Fiber misalignment on the other side of the lens, at output, can be seen in the output divergence of the lens.47,55 The divergence was measured by placing a pinhole at different positions across the output of the optic before diffracting the beam off the crystal. The crystal was rotated to scan the (400) Bragg reflection for Cu Kα radiation. Since the Darwin width of the silicon rocking curve is very much smaller than the measured divergence of the x-rays, the contribution of the crystal to the rocking curve can be neglected. Figure 14 shows the measured local divergence of the output of the collimating lens at 8 keV with the aperture at five different locations relative to the axis of the lens. The peak centers of these local divergence curves are systematically shifted. This shift could be caused by the output directions of the ends of the fibers being slightly convergent rather than parallel. An output image, taken at some distance from the lens, showed slight focusing.55

In Fig. 14, the FWHM of each divergence curve is in the range of 3.8–3.9 mrad, very close to the critical angle of...
about 4 mrad at 8 keV, but much larger than the 2.5 mrad predicted by an ideal lens simulation. The divergence of the modeled ideal lens is low because the nearly straight central fibers, if ideal, would have an exit divergence of 2.4 mrad, equal to the input divergence determined by the source spot size. However, waviness increases the angle of reflection for x-ray photons for most bounces inside the channel and thus the average angle at which they exit the fiber. The simulated divergence of a straight fiber with a waviness of 0.15 mrad is 3.9 mrad, close to the local divergence of the lens. The increased divergence of real lenses, compared to ideal models, can be explained by waviness.44

A more recent long focal length lens8 had no systematic shifts in local divergence, indicating that there was no systematic fiber misalignment. The average value for the divergence at 17.5 keV was 1.2 mrad, slightly less than the critical angle at that energy.45 The uniformity of newer lenses is also good. The output of a newer lens designed for 20 keV is shown in Figure 11(b). The non-uniformity was less than 3% at 8 keV. The transmission of that lens as a function of photon energy is shown in Fig. 15.47 Using the waviness and bending determined from the single fiber simulation of Fig. 6, the simulation fits the measured value well. This implies that other effects, such as fiber misalignment or blocked channels, are minimal.

The simulations shown in Figs 6 and 15 did not include roughness. Roughness decreases only slightly the specular reflectivity at low angles, and so has almost no impact on the transmission spectra there or in Fig. 15, but becomes increasingly important under circumstances in which the angle and number of reflections increase. It can be seen that surface roughness must be considered to model the effects of moving the source away from the focal point, as shown in Fig. 16. However, fiber misalignment effects as were seen in the older lens in Fig. 13 were not important for this lens.

Figure 15. Comparison of experimental and simulated transmission as a function of energy for the lens in Fig. 11(b). The non-ideal simulation includes a waviness of 0.15 mrad and an unintentional bend with a radius of 125 m for the center fibers. From Ref. 47. Reproduced with permission from International Society for Optical Engineering.

Applications of multifiber collimating lenses
Multifiber collimating optics produce a large-area parallel beam from a conventional point source.

Large area diffraction
Gain: thin-film measurements. Because collimating optics efficiently redirect photons into a more parallel beam, they increase the intensity which will be diffracted from a crystal. This is particularly important for small samples, which will be discussed later, or for thin films. Measurements of thin films on wafer size substrates are especially well suited to multifiber lenses. Matney et al. have reported on measurements with a 3 cm diameter lens on a complex multilayer magnetic film with very thin interlayer thickness.48 Intensity increases of more than two orders of magnitude with signal-to-background ratio improvement of more than an order of magnitude were obtained, as shown in Fig. 17. The measured gain is in agreement with simple geometrical calculations.12

Parallel beam advantages. An important reason to use a collimating optic, aside from intensity gain, is that a parallel beam geometry provides insensitivity to sample preparation, shape, position and transparency. An example of the insensitivity to sample position was reported by Bates49, and is shown in Fig. 18, which shows a silicon powder diffraction peak measured with and without a polycapillary optic for different sample positions.14 Second, the symmetric beam profile and enhanced flux give much improved particle and measurement statistics. The constant peak width and resolution throughout the diffraction space facilitate very high precision residual stress and texture analysis and reciprocal space mapping.48 The peak shape is ideally suited to phase identification and full pattern analysis of crystalline phase content using wavelet transforms. The parallel beam geometry also greatly simplifies alignment.10

Practical monochromatic mammography
Mammography has proven to be the single most effective means of reducing breast cancer mortality.51 Screening
Figure 18. Silicon powder diffraction peak shift due to sample displacement with no optic (Bragg–Bretano geometry), left, and with a polycapillary collimating optic (parallel beam), right. Note in addition to the peak shift, in the Bragg–Bretano case the peaks are asymmetric due to the presence of the copper Kα doublet. The resolution of the system in both cases was limited by the monochromator. Courtesy of Simon Bates, Kratos Inc.

Figure 17. Spin valve stack. 40 Å NiFe/10 Å Co/30 Å Cu/12 Å Co/20 Å NiFe. The upper dark line is measurements with optic; the lower gray line is with horizontal slit and equal counting time. Note log intensity scale. Thin film peaks at 10, 30, 65 and 100° are not apparent without the optic. Adapted from Ref. 48.

mammography is an extremely challenging application of x-ray imaging, where dose, contrast, resolution, and cost are all critically important. The already low subject contrast is further reduced in a conventional system by averaging over relatively large energy bandwidths. Synchrotron measurements using monochromatic beams have demonstrated higher contrast, but synchrotrons are not clinically available. Using monochromator crystals with a conventional source without an optic is not practicable because the low intensity of the diffracted beam will not allow imaging in vivo before motion blur occurs. Polycapillary collimating optics can allow sufficient diffracted beam intensity to make clinical monochromatic imaging possible without a synchrotron. Because the monochromatization is done before the patient, the patient is only subjected to those x-rays which will contribute to the highest contrast image. Patient dose is reduced because of the removal of low-energy photons that are heavily absorbed in the patient without contributing to contrast, and of high-energy photons that give relatively low subject contrast and that cause Compton scattering.

A preliminary test study using a 1 × 1 cm multifiber optic35 demonstrated the use of polycapillary optics to produce monochromatic images from phantoms. A schematic of the contrast phantom, and the resulting images, are shown in Figs 19 and 20. The measured contrast of the 6.6 mm step was a factor of 5 higher for monochromatic 8 keV x-rays than for the polychromatic case. Similar enhancements were seen for phantoms with compositional variation. Preliminary measurements with a very low power source at 17.5 keV showed subject contrast enhancement of a factor of 2, also in agreement with theoretical calculations. This...
contrast enhancement is in addition to that expected from the reduction of scattered radiation.

Contrast in medical imaging is also significantly degraded by the presence of photons that have been Compton scattered out of the primary beam. The parallel beam produced by the crystal would allow removal of scattered radiation by introducing a simple air gap between the patient and the detector. With conventional tube sources, allowable air gap sizes are limited by geometrical blurring due to the finite source size, as shown in the top of Fig. 21. Implementation of a parallel beam would reduce geometrical blur and therefore allow a large air gap.

The output divergence of the collimating optic affects the resolution and is an important parameter especially for low-energy and high-resolution modalities. For the preliminary test measurement, good angular resolution was achieved even with a large spot source.

Resolution was measured by recording a knife edge shadow with a resimibile phosphor computed radiography image plate. The derivative of the intensity profiles gives the angular distribution of the lens output. The Gaussian width of the output is given in Table 1 for silicon and mica crystals. For a perfect crystal and parallel monochromatic input beam, the knife-edge image would be ideally sharp (with a perfect detector). For a crystal with a large bandwidth, such as graphite, the angular width is determined by the optic divergence, since the crystal can accommodate the full range of angles output from the optic. For a crystal with a bandwidth narrower than the optic divergence, a monochromatic beam would give a width equal to the crystal bandwidth. Thus, for the nearly perfect silicon crystal, the width results primarily from the energy spread of the incident radiation. The images showed the resolved Mo Kα energy doublet. The 3 eV energy width of the Kα2 emission line produces an angular spread of

$$\sigma_E \equiv \tan \theta / \sigma_{Kα2} \approx 0.4 \text{ mrad}$$

Combining the effects of the crystal, lens divergence and energy spread, the angular distribution of intensity off the crystal can be approximated as a Gaussian distribution:

$$I(\Delta \theta) = \frac{\alpha}{2\sqrt{\pi} \sigma_{optic} \sqrt{\sigma^2 + \sigma_E^2}} e^{-\frac{\Delta \theta^2}{\sigma^2}}$$

where $\Delta \theta$ is the deviation of the output angle from the normal Bragg angle, $I(\psi)$ is the angular distribution from the optic, assumed to be a Gaussian of width $\sigma_{optic}$, $I(E)$ is the spectral distribution of the Kα2 line, also assumed Gaussian of width $\sigma_{\alpha}$, and $\mu(\beta)$ is the probability distribution of planes at angle $\beta$ from the surface of the crystal, assumed to have a Gaussian width $\alpha$ given by the crystal bandwidth. The delta function insures that the incidence angle equals the reflection angle. By making small angle approximations and completing the square, the integral can be performed analytically to give the output width:

$$\sigma = \sqrt{4\sigma_\alpha^2 + \sigma_{optic}^2 + \sigma_E^2}$$

The theoretical width is additionally broadened in quadrature by the detector resolution which is 50 μm at 300 mm or 0.2 mrad. The calculations are shown with the experimental angular resolutions, which are taken as the widths of the knife edge profiles, in Table 1. The values agree fairly well with the calculated resolutions.

The efficiency $\eta$ of a crystal is calculated to be

$$\eta = \int I(\Delta \theta) d\Delta \theta = \frac{\alpha}{\sqrt{\sigma^2 + \sigma_{optic}^2 + \sigma_E^2}}$$

The intensity reflected by the crystal is $\eta$ multiplied by the reflectivity, $R$, of the crystal itself. For silicon, the calculated $\eta$ is 0.005, R is approximately unity and the measured efficiency is 0.003 ± 0.001. Mica, with a larger bandwidth, $\alpha$, gives a much higher efficiency, but similar resolution, since the resolution is limited not by $\alpha$ but by the energy width of the line. Hence mica should be preferable to avoid motion artifacts.

**MONOLITHIC MAGNIFYING SCATTER REJECTION OPTICS**

**Introduction**

As mentioned in the previous section, contrast is degraded by scattered radiation. In a conventional polychromatic medical
imaging system, scatter is partially removed by inserting a grid with lead ribbons parallel to the incoming beam. Alternatively, scatter can be removed by inserting a capillary optic between the patient and the detector. Because capillary optics have an angular acceptance that is limited by the very small critical angle (1.5 mrad at 20 keV), scattered photons are not transported down optics channels, but are largely absorbed by the glass walls of the capillary optic. Measured transmissions for scattered photons are typically less than 1%. This leads to measured contrast enhancements of around a factor of two for energies from 20 to 40 keV.19

The tested prototype optics were also tapered and elongated to provide image magnification, as shown in Fig. 21. Using a prototype optic with a magnification of 1.8 the resolution was increased by the same factor of 1.8.19 Further, the modulation transfer function (MTF) was increased at all spatial frequencies, including the diagnostically important lower frequencies, as shown in Fig. 22.19 The resolution was not degraded by the capillary structure, which was on a smaller scale (20 µm channel size) than the desired resolution. The results were very promising, but the early optics suffered from low transmission efficiency.

**Taper defect analysis**

Studies were performed on a poorly transmitting optic to investigate the distributions of defects that were diminishing transmission.47 The transmission of the optic and of its two halves after cutting are shown in Fig. 23. The final piece, A, was cut into three pieces, A1, which was 11 cm long, and A21 and A22, which were both 5.5 cm long. The defect localization into A21 is shown by the image and transmission measurement of Fig. 24. The transmission of the defected piece is higher at 24 keV than at 20 keV, which is the reverse of what is expected from the variation of critical angle with energy. The transmission dip could be due to glass blockages in the channels, which would be more transparent at higher energies. The competition between the increasing penetration of the blockages and the decreasing channel transmission with increasing energy produces a transmission minimum. The effects of channel blockages were also seen in Figs 7 and 8.

**Improvements**

Partly as a result of the understanding developed from defect analysis, there have been significant advances in the manufacturing of long tapered monolithic optics. Transmission for seven tapers from a single recent batch is shown in Fig. 25. The transmission of all of the optics was greater than 40% at 20 keV. These were initial prototypes for placement in a
Multioptic jig designed to increase the image area to a size which can be of clinical use.

**Multioptic jig**

**Transmission measurements**

Figure 26 shows a transmission image of three monolithic optics mounted together as a triad. The three individual optics have similar transmission and there is no leakage around the optics. The transmission of each optic was somewhat lower than when measured individually. This is due to the fact that the alignment was optimized for the group rather than any individual optic. Nonetheless the transmission is still fairly good (>44%) and is even fairly good when including the interoptic gaps (41%).

**MTF measurements**

The MTF of various pairs of optics are shown in Fig. 27. Simulation analysis showed that the optics must be aligned within 50 µm to keep the MTF degradation to less than 10% out to 3 lp mm⁻¹ and within 25 µm to keep the MTF degradation less than 6% out to 5 line-pair/mm.

**Lead glass**

For higher energy applications, or for cases where shorter optics are desirable, the scatter rejection optics should be made of lead glass. As shown in Fig. 7, lead glass fiber transmission is promising for short optics. Short lead glass scatter rejection optics could also be used for imaging radioactive sources, in a manner similar to gamma cameras.

**MONOLITHIC FOCUSING OPTICS**

Like the monolithic magnification optics, monolithic focusing optics have tapered channels. Focusing optics are designed so that the beams from each channel overlap at the output. This results in focal spot sizes that are limited only by the channel size and divergence from the channels. They can be considerably smaller than the optic diameter.

**Focused beam diffraction theory**

For focused beam diffraction, the volume of reciprocal space which is accessed in a single measurement is greatly increased compared with parallel beam geometries. The diffraction spot is not isotropically broadened by the convergence of the focused beam. Figure 28 displays a sketch of the diffraction condition for a single crystal with a monochromatic convergent beam. Diffraction conditions are satisfied for the two incident beam directions, \( k_0 \) and \( k_1 \), when they make the same angle with the reciprocal lattice vector, \( G \). Thus, changing the incident beam direction...
Table 2. Comparison of protein crystallography data with and without a polycapillary optic with a 150 mm output focal length: both data sets were acquired on the same frozen chicken egg-white lysozyme crystal in 31 frames with an oscillation angle of 1.25° per frame, at 40 kV at 60 mA (Adapted from Ref. 15)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Without optic: 0.3 mm pinhole collimator + 12.5 μm Ni</th>
<th>With slightly focusing optic: Slightly focusing optic + 0.3 mm pinhole collimator + 12.5 μm Ni</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Time/frame (min)</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average intensity of reflections in common</td>
<td>10,082 ± 491</td>
<td>3911 ± 255</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PIN diode intensity of direct beam</td>
<td>2.0 x 10^{-3}</td>
<td>1.0 x 10^{-4}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Linear R-factor</td>
<td>0.069</td>
<td>0.064</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Resolution (Å)</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>1.6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

from $k_0$ to $k_1$ rotates the diffraction triangle of $k_0$, G and $k_1$ about the vector G by an angle $\phi$. This results in the diffracted beam, $k_1$, moving to trace out a tangential line on the detector. There is no broadening in the radial direction. Comprehensive analysis programs for convergent beam protein crystallography have been developed and the first stages reported. Protein diffraction patterns taken with smaller convergence can be analyzed with standard commercial software.

**Protein results**

A direct comparison was made of data quality and collection time on a rotating anode system using a single egg-white lysozyme crystal, with and without polycapillary optics. A description of the system and results is given in Table 2. The direct beam intensity gain and the diffracted beam signal gain were both a factor of 20 for a lens with a 0.3° convergence. There was no degradation in data quality. The R-factor, which measures the similarity of the measured and model intensity for each diffraction, is defined as

$$R = \frac{\sum_{hkl} |F_{obs}| - s |F_{calc}|}{\sum_{hkl} |F_{obs}|}$$

where $F_{obs}$ and $F_{calc}$ are the measured and theoretical structure factors for the ($hkl$) plane diffraction and $s$ is a scale factor. Data was also taken with a low-power system. The results, shown in Table 3, were of high quality and analyzed with conventional software, despite being taken with a source that was 100× less powerful than the rotating anode normally used.

**CONCLUSIONS**

Marked improvements in simulation accuracy and optic quality have emerged in the last 5 years. Systematic measurements allow the separate assessment of curvature, waviness, roughness, channel blockage and channel misalignment on input and output. Improvements in optic quality have led to practical implementation of many new applications and the potential development of a number of others. Microdiffraction using focused beams and high-contrast mammography are emergent new areas.
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