

## Rubric for evaluating Case 1 papers

	Low Performance	Solid Performance	High Performance
Completeness	<b>0 to 1</b> Many summary statistics or displays not used	<b>2 to 3</b> Most summary statistics and displays used	<b>4</b> All summary statistics and displays used
Presentation	<b>0 to 1</b> Little text accompanying statistics and displays, or text and displays separated. Poor proof reading or page layouts	<b>2 to 3</b> Text accompanying statistics and displays. Text discusses meaning of most statistical displays. Perhaps some flaws in layout or interpretation.	<b>4</b> Text and statistics seamlessly combined. Text discusses meaning of all the statistical displays and summary statistics.
Technical correctness	<b>0 to 1</b> A number of summary statistics or displays used or interpreted incorrectly.	<b>2 to 3</b> Most summary statistics and displays interpreted correctly; a few not interpreted or misinterpreted.	<b>4</b> All summary statistics and displays interpreted completely correctly.
Insightfulness	<b>0 to 1</b> Little or no indication of what the statistical summaries or displays mean about the real world	<b>2 to 3</b> Clear attempts to derive real-world insights from most of the data displays and summaries.	<b>4 to 5</b> Excellent presentation of the real-world meaning of the statistical displays and summaries. Derives insights from the data.